



City of Margate

5790 Margate Boulevard
Margate, FL 33063
954-972-6454
www.margatefl.com

Meeting Minutes Regular City Commission Meeting

Mayor Antonio V. Arserio
Vice Mayor Anthony N. Caggiano
Commissioners:
Tommy Ruzzano, Arlene R. Schwartz, Joanne Simone

City Manager Cale Curtis
City Attorney Weiss Serota Helfman Cole & Bierman
City Clerk Jennifer M. Johnson

Wednesday, January 21, 2026

7:00 PM

Commission Chambers and Zoom.us

Webinar ID: 834 9654 7140

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83496547140>

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

Present: 5 - Commissioner Arlene R. Schwartz, Commissioner Joanne Simone, Commissioner Tommy Ruzzano, Vice Mayor Anthony N. Caggiano and Mayor Antonio V. Arserio

MOMENT OF SILENCE

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

1) PUBLIC DISCUSSION

RICHARD ZUCCHINI, LAKEWOOD CIRCLE, thanked Commissioner Schwartz for voting "no" on a previous variance. He discussed the comments made at the previous City Commission meeting for Public Discussion.

MARGATE RESIDENT, ELSA J. SANCHEZ, said that she was a proud American and read a wish list on her hopes for Margate for 2026.

MARGATE RESIDENT, DONNA L. FELLOWS, hoped that the fair had been paid in full and asked for it not to return. She requested the Code Enforcement Officers to visit a church and get rid of the trash issue and referenced raccoons in nearby trees.

MARGATE RESIDENT, TRACY VAN WINKLE, referenced a structural professional attending a church across the street and commented about it being condemned.

2) COMMISSION COMMENTS

COMMISSIONER, ARLENE R. SCHWARTZ wished everyone a Happy New Year. She hoped that the public attended the first City Commission meeting to listen to the presentation by Broward County Property Appraiser (BCPA), Kiar and forewarned about giving up being homesteaded.

COMMISSIONER, JOANNE SIMONE, advised that today, the design concept for the Calypso Cove marquee digital sign was finalized and referenced that this had taken over a

year. She informed that the Property Improvement Grant Program (PIP) would be rolled out again next February but voiced her concerns due to the uncertainty outlined in BCPA Kiar's presentation pertaining to taxes. She requested City Manager Curtis to pause the PIP until they knew where they were with the taxes.

MAYOR, ANTONIO V. ARSERIO, asked the City Clerk to poll the City Commission pertaining to the roll out of the PIP.

CITY MANAGER, CALE CURTIS, confirmed that the City's money would be utilized for the PIP and that they had not, as yet, advertised a start date for applications but would consider February.

Discussion ensued.

COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ said that it was something that she could consider.

COMMISSIONER, TOMMY RUZZANO, explained that the City Commission was here to make the community look better and to help the residents. He received positive feedback from residents that said that the PIP was the best thing that the City had done. He believed that Margate would be sustainable despite what may come in front of them and asked the City Manager to review all the extra money that the City Commission receive apart from their pay. He had no problem with the grant.

Discussion ensued.

VICE MAYOR ANTHONY N. CAGGIANO would prefer the grant to be placed on hold until an update had been received from Tallahassee.

MAYOR ARSERIO preferred to see the grant continue and would also like to see an additional separate carve-out for Homeowners Associations (HOA).

CITY MANAGER CURTIS explained that they had \$500,000 budgeted for PIP which commercial properties, HOAs and residential properties were eligible for.

MAYOR ARSERIO wanted to see the grant to continue in its current format.

Discussion ensued on the process of the PIP.

COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ confirmed that she did not have a problem with the City doing what they were going to do.

MAYOR ARSERIO informed the City Manager that he had CONSENSUS to proceed with the PIP.

COMMISSIONER SIMONE expressed that it was very disgraceful that one could not give their opinion without a personal attack. She apologized to the residents for allowing this to happen. She was all for helping the City and the residents but mindful not to spend dollars which they may not have in the future and that they needed to start saving money now. She wanted action on overgrown trees infringing on neighbors' properties and did not believe that someone would have to incur a fee to do it and requested the City Manager to review and address it.

COMMISSIONER RUZZANO apologized if he upset any of the City Commission and referenced freedom of speech. He advised that it would be the 250th anniversary of the

United States this July. He wished everybody the best.

VICE MAYOR CAGGIANO explained to Margate residents that he was taking notes while they were speaking. He hoped that the rest of the year was a lot happier.

MAYOR ARSERIO addressed a comment made by Commissioner Simone. He would like CONSENSUS to see a special waiver brought back for improvements and projects around Margate. He polled the City Commission and asked them if there was an interest in having a special waiver.

VICE MAYOR CAGGIANO – Yes

COMMISSIONER RUZZANO – Yes. He said that a Panda Express was interested in the former Boston Market site but they were having an issue with the size of the sidewalk.

Discussion ensued on the sidewalk at the old Boston Market site.

CITY ATTORNEY, DAVID N. TOLCES, said that he was aware of other cities that have a similar process but it would still be a quasi-judicial and indicated that Margate may have different criteria than with the variance. He said that if the City Commission gave instructions, they could obtain information and peruse some examples.

Discussion ensued on the old Boston Market site.

COMMISSIONER SIMONE said that if it was for information, it was fine.

COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ had no problem but wanted to ensure that they were not skipping the process through the Development Review Committee (DRC).

Discussion ensued on the process for obtaining this information.

MAYOR ARSERIO said that there was CONSENSUS to work on a special waiver.

COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ reminded the City Manager that whilst she was Mayor, she requested that Margate organize a workshop for the sign code as there had been a number of inquiries on the same.

3) CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

There was no City Manager's Report.

4) CONSENT AGENDA

Items listed under Consent Agenda are viewed to be routine and the recommendation will be enacted by one motion in the form listed below. If discussion is desired by the Commission, the item(s) will be removed from the Consent Agenda and will be considered separately.

- A. [ID 2025-356](#) MOTION - APPROVAL OF CITY COMMISSION MINUTES: NOVEMBER 5, 2025 REGULAR CITY COMMISSION MEETING, NOVEMBER 25, 2025 REGULAR CITY COMMISSION MEETING AND DECEMBER 10, 2025 REGULAR CITY COMMISSION MEETING @ 6PM

APPROVED

- B. [ID 2025-359](#) A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF MARGATE, FL, APPROVING A RECREATIONAL/INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES FORM AGREEMENT TERMS AND PROCESSES FOR THIRD-PARTY RECREATIONAL VENDORS OFFERING PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES AT CITY FACILITIES; PROVIDING FOR APPROVAL FOR VENDOR USE OF LOGO OR SEAL; PROVIDING FOR EXECUTION OF THE AGREEMENT BY THE CITY MANAGER WITHOUT FURTHER COMMISSION ACTION; PROVIDING FOR EFFECTIVE DATE

APPROVED

- C. [ID 2025-364](#) A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF MARGATE, FLORIDA, APPROVING RULES OF CONDUCT AT MARGATE CITY COMMISSION MEETINGS FOR 2025-26

APPROVED

- D. [ID 2025-365](#) A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF MARGATE, FLORIDA, APPROVING RULES OF PROCEDURE AT MARGATE CITY COMMISSION MEETINGS FOR 2025-26

APPROVED

- E. [ID 2025-367](#) RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE RECLASSIFICATION OF THE MULTIMEDIA POSITIONS WITHIN THE CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE TO MULTIMEDIA PRODUCER OR MULTIMEDIA SPECIALIST; PROVIDING FOR BUDGET AMENDMENT; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

APPROVED

- F. [ID 2026-001](#) A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF MARGATE, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE MULTIPLE AWARD OF BID NO. 2026-001- CANAL EMBANKMENTS AND STORMWATER INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS TO LANDSHORE ENTERPRISES, LLC AS PRIMARY CONTRACTOR AND EMC DIVERS, INC. AS SECONDARY CONTRACTOR; PROVIDING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE ASSIGNMENT OF PROJECTS BASED ON AWARDED BID PRICES AND THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CITY; PROVIDING FOR AN INITIAL TWO-YEAR TERM AND THREE ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTIONS; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

APPROVED

- G. [ID 2026-008](#) A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF MARGATE, FLORIDA, WAIVING FORMAL BIDDING REQUIREMENTS, AND APPROVING AN AGREEMENT FOR A PILOT WATER TREATMENT PROGRAM USING PURIFICS PROPRIETARY CUF (CONTINUOUS ULTRAFILTRATION) TECHNOLOGY AND DESIGNATING PURIFICS WATER, INC., THROUGH BAR ENVIRONMENTAL, INC., AS A SOLE-SOURCE VENDOR FOR THIS TECHNOLOGY; PROVIDING FOR IMPLEMENTATION AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

APPROVED

Approval of the Consent Agenda

A motion was made by Commissioner Schwartz, seconded by Vice Mayor Caggiano, to approve the Consent Agenda. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Schwartz, Commissioner Simone, Commissioner Ruzzano, Vice Mayor Caggiano and Mayor Arserio

5) RESOLUTION(S)

A. [ID 2025-355](#) APPROVING APPOINTMENT OF _____ TO THE CIVIL SERVICE BOARD FOR THE TERM COMMENCING FEBRUARY 1, 2026, THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2028

A motion was made by Commissioner Schwartz, seconded by Mayor Arserio, to appoint, Jasmin Ubillus.

MARGATE RESIDENT, VARUN OJAR, said that he applied for the Civil Service Board.

Discussion ensued.

The motion carried as follows;

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Schwartz, Commissioner Simone, Commissioner Ruzzano, Vice Mayor Caggiano and Mayor Arserio

B. [ID 2026-007](#) A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF MARGATE, FLORIDA, APPROVING APPOINTMENT OF _____ AS CITY COMMISSIONER TRUSTEE TO THE BOARD OF THE CITY OF MARGATE EMPLOYEES BENEFIT TRUST FUND FOR THE TERM OF JANUARY 21, 2026, UNTIL MAY 31, 2027

MAYOR ARSERIO advised that this position must be held by a City Commissioner which he believed was currently held by Commissioner Simone.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Caggiano, seconded by Mayor Arserio, to nominate Commissioner Arlene R. Schwartz.

COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ questioned how often did the Employee Trust Fund Board (EBTF) meet.

Discussion ensued.

COMMISSIONER RUZZANO was informed that he and Commissioner Simone would be

ineligible to join EBTF.

Discussion ensued.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Schwartz, Commissioner Simone, Commissioner Ruzzano, Vice Mayor Caggiano and Mayor Arserio

6) ORDINANCE(S) - FIRST READING

- A. [ID 2025-366](#) AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF MARGATE, FLORIDA, ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO THE CITY OF MARGATE, FLORIDA’S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN; AMENDING ELEMENT III, “SANITARY SEWER, SOLID WASTE, DRAINAGE, POTABLE WATER AND NATURAL GROUND WATER, AQUIFER RECHARGE”; AMENDING PART 1, “POTABLE WATER” IN ORDER TO ADOPT THE UPDATED TEN-YEAR WATER SUPPLY FACILITIES WORK PLAN, PURSUANT TO SECTION 163.3177, FLORIDA STATUTES; PROVIDING FOR TRANSMITTAL TO THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Caggiano, seconded by Commissioner Schwartz, that this Ordinance, First Reading, should be approved. This carried as follows:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Schwartz, Commissioner Simone, Commissioner Ruzzano, Vice Mayor Caggiano and Mayor Arserio

7) DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION

- A. [ID 2025-295](#) A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MARGATE, FLORIDA, APPROVING A COST-SHARING INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH BROWARD COUNTY FOR THE DESIGN OF A REGIONAL BIOSOLIDS MANAGEMENT FACILITY

CITY MANAGER CURTIS invited Department of Environmental and Engineering Services (DEES) Director, Keyser to give a brief presentation on this Item.

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER, advised that this whole effort was being spearheaded by Broward County (BC). He introduced Director of Water & Wastewater Operations for BC, Mark Darmanin, who was available via Zoom. He explained that biosolids were the solid particles which were generated in the wastewater plant and after that process, it would eventually be spread on a farmer’s field.

A brief question and answer session ensued between DEES Director Keyser and the City Commission.

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER continued to discuss the process and explained that there were many regulations that the land application sites had to adhere to. He advised that type B biosolids contained bacteria, pathogens and viruses and that they got down to a

16% water content or less. He explained that there were 60 total permitted sites where DEES could discharge it which were all above Tampa and Lake Okeechobee and advised that their outlets were shrinking but the number of producers were not and in effect, they were competing against each other for places to dispose the biosolids. He discussed in detail the biosolids regulatory timeline and the difficulties therein. He anticipated that DEES would eliminate the application of Class B biosolids which would require them to go to Class A or AA in order to land apply it and highlighted that there were a number of utilities in BC that were in the same boat which would create more competition.

A brief question and answer session ensued between DEES Director Keyser and the City Commission.

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER forewarned that the costs to dispose of the biosolids would increase asymptotically which cost \$461,000 in 2025. He explained that approximately five years ago, a BC representative spearheaded an effort with all of the producers in BC and advised that they could respectively deal with it independently or they could join forces together and configure how they can pull their resources and build one central plant that could deal with it for everybody which resulted in a group being placed together in 2021 to create a Biosolids Solutions Working Group. He forewarned that if the City was not proactive, it would continue to accelerate expeditiously. He advised that the group thought that the best location to place it would be in BC's Water Waste Water Plant which was at the northwest corner of Copans Road and Powerline Road. He said that once it went through the process, it would produce ash and fertilizer which they could dispose of at the landfill without any environmental regulatory issues. He said that there were a number of options for the final destination including the possibility of selling it as fertilizer and if there was a market for that product, the revenue would be apportioned on the same percentage as the expenses.

COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ said that when methane gas came out from the plant on Copans Road, the public were displeased with the smell which was stopped after a while as they had to do something.

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER did not believe that they would be producing methane gas but referenced it would be produced at the dump which they could use as a source of energy to drive this plant.

Discussion ensued.

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER continued with his PowerPoint presentation and highlighted the thermal drying process that the working group recommended and said that DEES was looking at a 10,000 wet ton per year allocation. He approximated the sum of \$200,000,000 - \$570,000,000 to construct a plant in BC. He advised that the working group completed a Interlocal Agreement (ILA) study confirming the feasibility and that the next step would be to design a facility but he had doubts on the final number. He confirmed that the City's participation would be 3.7% of \$1,850,000 allocation or commitment to the design which would be their second ILA. He informed that the third ILA would pertain to the construction and the operation of the plan.

MAYOR ARSERIO was trying to reduce costs and suggested that there could be a possibility that they would not hit the estimated 10,000 tons as highlighted by DEES Director, Keyser, for another 20 - 30 years. He questioned if they could they lease it to any City and give them the extra three in exchange of something.

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER highlighted that there was a performance criteria that they

would have to meet with the product that was being sent but he was unsure if there would be any issue with the agreement or legalities but if they did what Mayor Arserio suggested, they could only do that temporarily.

CITY ATTORNEY TOLCES advised that it would probably be something that they would probably see later on in the operation agreement.

Discussion ensued.

COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ voiced her concerns that it would cost \$50,000,000 to design something and questioned that if cities joined thereafter, would they have to pay the \$1,850,000. She expressed that it was a huge commitment of money during a period when they may have to worry about the future of property taxes.

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER explained that pertaining to the \$50,000,000, they would not get a good estimate from a consultant point. He said that they believe they have a reasonable construction cost and the consultant would seek approximately 10% but it was unrelated and that the strategy was to be conservatively high and manage expectations and the contracts. He was honest and they were looking for a reasonable solution to a very difficult situation and in terms of the costs, they had to start somewhere to move this Item ahead and read a list of the municipalities that had approved or were still considering the same.

Discussion ensued including what municipalities would be using the facility, apportionment costs and whether BC would use the facility or build something independently.

CITY MANAGER CURTIS advised that it was enterprise fund dollars and that it had been identified in the Capital Improvement Plan for the Waste Water Treatment Plant. He also informed that it had been identified as an eligible product in the Utility Revenue Bond that they just passed.

Discussion ensued.

MAYOR ARSERIO anticipated that the residents voted for them to improve their stormwater/wastewater treatment and this was an eligible expense within that bond which was something to consider.

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER forewarned that there was one technicality that they had to explore before utilizing the bond money and referenced what would occur if it did not qualify.

CITY MANAGER CURTIS confirmed that this Item would definitely not be withdrawn from the General Fund budget.

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER advised that BC wanted to know by the end of February whether they were in or out, for at least for the design. He suggested that they could participate in the design to see what the ILA, construction and operating agreement looked like. He personally did not anticipate that they were going to spend \$1,850,000 to design it and then walk away and that everyone in BC had researched it.

COMMISSIONER RUZZANO questioned whether BCs Darmanin knew what the City of Coconut Creek paid per year for their portion of the biosolids disposal. He voiced his concerns about the apportionment element of any potential agreement and also

referenced the Alpha 250 property. He said that they needed a plan and was not enthused with partnering with BC.

Discussion ensued

DIRECTOR OF WATER & WASTEWATER OPERATIONS (W&WO) FOR BC, MARK DARMANIN, advised Commissioner Ruzzano that he did not have that number as it was not really separated. He explained that the collaborative group of cities that send their waste to BC's regional facility for treatment purposes totaled 100,000 tons of biosolids per year which would be BC's responsibility.

Discussion ensued including what the other cities were paying per year, exploring the project independently, partnering with BC, finding a suitable site for the facility, environmental issues, the language contained in the ILA and potential legal issues.

DEES ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, MARTA RECZKO clarified that if this Item cost around \$500,000,000, BC would cover approximately 40% of the costs/ownership of this facility and going forward on the operational costs, Margate would cover 3.7%. She also clarified the current costs which Margate were paying.

Discussion ensued.

CITY MANAGER CURTIS advised that BC was looking for all of the participating cities to make a decision, sooner rather than later. He advised that if they have satisfied the City Commission's questions or suggested ideas, they could take action and pass the Resolution and the ILA to move forward with the design or alternatively, they can revisit this Item at a later date if further research was required.

COMMISSIONER RUZZANO expressed his reluctance to move forward and said that he would prefer to be the last participant to make this decision.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Caggiano, to approve this Resolution and to move forward with it

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER questioned Director of W&WO for BC, Darmanin whether he had heard anything back from Miamar.

DIRECTOR OF W&WO FOR BC DARMANIN said no.

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER paid kudos to DEES Assistant Director, Reczko who was the City's representative with the working group who had put a lot of time and effort into this and also organized the presentation which had also been used by two other cities. He also thanked and praised the Director of W&WO, BC Darmanin and said that he had been very good to work with and a wealth of information.

Discussion ensued on the City of Hollywood's plans.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Caggiano, seconded by Commissioner

Simone, to approve this Resolution.

COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ questioned if the facility would be built in two years.

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER did not anticipate that this facility would be built in two years but forewarned that there would be a period of time where this legislation became effective in which it would make it very restrictive and referenced that it could go by freight where it had to be transported to other states.

Discussion ensued including apportionment fees and also monies paid from neighboring cities.

CITY MANAGER CURTIS advised that the costs of BC which would include amounts for renewals and replacements for future capital improvements so the rates that have been charged would have been collected overtime, historically, so that they have a pot of money they can use when those upgrades or replacements arose.

Discussion ensued.

MAYOR ARSERIO questioned whether a board would be created and referenced voting rights for operational reasons.

CITY MANAGER CURTIS explained that they would be using BC as a vendor similar to how Coconut Creek would receive their water from BC but there was no board that they sat on to manage how that was done. His understanding was that it would not be a separate entity.

Discussion ensued.

COMMISSIONER SIMONE expressed that the City was very fortunate to have the expertise of DEES Assistant Director, Reczko and believed that she would watch out for Margate and thanked her for her service.

DIRECTOR OF W&WO FOR BC, DARMANIN, just received the news that Miramar had passed its ILA this evening and concurred that DEES Assistant Director, Reczko, was an expert in this area.

Discussion ensued.

MAYOR ARSERIO said approving this Item seemed to be the responsible thing to do but voiced his concerns and explained that he had always been skeptical on the Solid Waste Authority.

Discussion ensued including whether Miami Dade would have the capacity to incorporate the current project and transportation fees and the private sector's fees.

MR. ZUCCHINI foresaw three possible options and said that the contract would be the most critical part of this operation. He thanked DEES Director Keyser and DEES Assistant Director, Reczko.

MS. SANCHEZ questioned that if the City declined at this time, would it be too late to join at a later date.

MAYOR ARSERIO responded to Ms. Sanchez's comments.

Discussion ensued.

DOUGLAS R. KEMP, PARADISE GARDENS, believed that there should be more studies undertaken.

MARGATE RESIDENT, VARUN OJAR, supported the Ordinance and said that a long term private sector solution would always cost more.

MARGATE RESIDENT, ROSELIA D. MACHADO, liked the presentation and was in favor of Margate being onboard with this Item.

MAYOR ARSERIO responded to Ms. Machado's comments.

DEES DIRECTOR KEYSER questioned Mr. Darmanin to reiterate that BC was committed to building a plan and that they have also already committed to the capacity of approximately 270,000 wet tons per year and whether they were going to build that much regardless of which cities participated.

DIRECTOR OF W&WO FOR BC, DARMANIN, clarified that the intent was to build a facility up to 270,000 wet tons per year and if they did not receive full participation, they would still have the space to add the equipment to be able to get there if needed but they would not overbuild up front thus causing additional costs and they would limit their costs as to what would have to happen.

Discussion ensued.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Schwartz, Commissioner Simone, Commissioner Ruzzano, Vice Mayor Caggiano and Mayor Arserio

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 10:29pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Transcribed by Salene E. Edwards

Jennifer M. Johnson, City Clerk

Date: _____

PLEASE NOTE:

If a person decides to appeal any decision made by the City Commission with respect to any matter considered at this meeting, the person will need a record of the proceedings, and for such purpose may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. Anyone desiring a verbatim transcript shall have the responsibility, at his/her own expense, to arrange for the transcript.

[Unified Land Development Code – Section 40.300(C)] Any representation made before any City Board, any Administrative Board, or the City Commission in the application for a variance, special exception, conditional use or request for any other permit shall be deemed a condition of the granting of the permit. Should any representation be false or should said representation not be continued as represented, same shall be deemed a violation of the permit and a violation of this section.

Any person with a disability requiring auxiliary aids and services for this meeting may call the City Clerk's office at (954) 972-6454 with their request at least two business days prior to the meeting date.

One or more members of the City of Margate Boards may be in attendance and may participate at the meeting.

Members of the public are invited to view this meeting through Zoom using Webinar ID: 834 9654 7140 or can listen via telephone by calling one of the following phone numbers: US: +1 312 626 6799 or +1 929 205 6099.

- A copy of the Agenda for this Meeting is posted on www.MargateFL.com and on the main bulletin board outside City Hall located at 5790 Margate Blvd, Margate, FL 33063.

THROUGH THE CITY'S WEBSITE:

Go to www.margatefl.com

Go to "Agendas & Minutes" > Find the respective meeting date and click "Agenda Summary" to view the agenda items and see the Zoom meeting information

ALTERNATE OPTION FOR VIEWING AND LISTENING TO THE MEETING THROUGH ZOOM.US

Instructions:

Topic: "Margate Regular City Commission Meeting"

Enter the following link to join the webinar: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83496547140>

Telephone:

Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

US: +1 312 626 6799 or +1 929 205 6099