

# COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY BOARD

## REGULAR MEETING JANUARY 14, 2015

### MINUTES

**Present:**

Tommy Ruzzano  
Joyce W. Bryan  
Lesa Peerman  
Joanne Simone, Vice Chair  
Frank B. Talerico, Chair

**Also Present:**

Diane Colonna, Executive Director  
Eugene M. Steinfeld, Board Attorney  
Douglas E. Smith, City Manager  
Reddy Chitepu, Director, DEES  
Ben Ziskal, Director, Economic Development  
Rachel Bach, Assistant Director, CRA  
Kim Vazquez, Project Manager, CRA  
Jeremy Earle, Dania Beach CRA  
Jochen Esser, What's Cooking, Inc.

The regular meeting of the Margate Community Redevelopment Agency having been properly noticed was called to order at 7:00 p.m., on Wednesday, January 14, 2015, by Chair Frank Talerico. There was a moment of silence followed by the Pledge of Allegiance. Roll call was taken.

---

**1A. MINUTES FOR APPROVAL: (11/12/14)**

After Eugene M. Steinfeld, Board Attorney, read the item title, Mrs. Bryan made the following motion, seconded by Mr. Ruzzano:

**MOTION:** SO MOVE TO APPROVE

There was no discussion.

**ROLL CALL:** Mr. Ruzzano, Yes; Mrs. Bryan, Yes; Mrs. Peerman, Yes; Ms. Simone, Yes;  
Mr. Talerico, Aye. The motion passed 5-0.

---

**1B. MINUTES FOR APPROVAL: (12/9/14)**

After Eugene M. Steinfeld, Board Attorney, read the item title, Ms. Simone made the following motion, seconded by Mrs. Bryan:

**MOTION:** SO MOVE TO APPROVE

There was no discussion.

**ROLL CALL:** Mr. Ruzzano, Yes; Mrs. Bryan, Yes; Mrs. Peerman, Yes; Ms. Simone, Yes;  
Mr. Talerico, Aye. The motion passed 5-0.

---

**2. PUBLIC DISCUSSION**

Rich Popovic, 6066 Winfield Boulevard, requested that the CRA place a statue of Kaye Stevens in Kaye Stevens Park, noting that she was a prominent, well known and respected member of the community. Mrs. Peerman asked if the CRA had a price for a statue. Douglas E. Smith, City Manager, responded that he may have received a price from Mike Jones, Director of Parks and Recreation; he said he would check and send it to the Board members. Mr. Ruzzano said he agreed that a statue was a good idea.

John Hall, 6421 French Angel Terrace, said he worked for Giant Tire, located at 1917 North State Road 7, and the owner of the company was interested in the façade improvement program. He said he reviewed the information on the CRA website about the program and noticed that the eligibility criteria required that the property be located in the Phase One Target Area of the Margate Community Redevelopment area which was State Road 7 between Atlantic Boulevard and Coconut Creek Parkway. He said his property was not in the target area and he asked when the rest of the district would be eligible for consideration for a façade grant.

Mr. Talerico said the CRA would look into it. Rachel Bach, CRA Manager, said she thought CRA staff had spoken with Giant Tire and she would check and report back to them. She said there had been discussion about revising the façade guidelines and bringing them back to the CRA Board for approval.

Mr. Ruzzano asked if the façade grants were limited to certain areas. Ms. Bach said the program was currently limited to strategic areas and she would report back to them on whether Giant Tire was included in one. She said currently it was limited to the gateways or corners in the area between Coconut Creek Parkway and Atlantic Boulevard. She said the changes that were being considered were related to those designated areas.

Mitch Pellecchia, 6890 N.W. 9<sup>th</sup> Street, commented that CRA staff should have known whether or not the business being discussed was in a targeted area for a façade grant.

---

3A. **PRESENTATION:** Presentation by Jeremy Earle, Dania Beach Community Redevelopment Agency Executive Director.

Eugene M. Steinfeld, Board Attorney, read the item title.

Jeremy Earle, Executive Director, Dania Beach Community Redevelopment Agency, stated the Power Point presentation he would be giving was one that he gave at the Florida Redevelopment Association conference. He introduced Dion Taylor, the program manager for their community garden.

Mr. Earle spoke about an extensive process Dania Beach went through in 2009 that involved meeting with the residents of the community and a CRA redevelopment plan modification. He proceeded with the presentation noting that the community garden idea was an innovative way to eliminate blight and improve quality of life by providing green spaces in urban residential/mixed use districts in the CRA. He said their zoning code was changed to allow for them in certain areas of their city. He spoke about how the CRA was the business arm of government and it should look at the return on investment. He said the Dania Beach CRA partnered with the Broward Regional Health Planning Council (BRHPA) which provided \$35,000 in grant funds that were in addition to \$70,000 that the CRA had allocated for a community garden. He showed a slide of the property they used and indicated that it had been vacant City owned land that was located in one of their most distressed communities. He commented on how it fit the model of what a CRA was supposed to do, i.e., it took a piece of blighted property that was not going to be developed for a number of years and found an interim use for it which provided jobs, access to healthy foods, and educational opportunities for local residents and schools.

Mr. Earle spoke about the Dania Beach Patch logo which stood for People's Access To Community Horticulture. He said the logo was designed for use by other cities. He said he would be meeting with three cities that week about coming under the Patch umbrella. He said the intent was for every city to share resources within their jurisdictions to create community gardens and to learn from other Patch gardens.

Mr. Earle spoke about how the garden provided a platform for education, cultural growth, community participation, and economic development. He said that amongst the things that were very important to him in the process was that the garden had to be sustainable economically long term which could take up to five years. He showed slides of the beginning stages of the garden. He said they had 2,500 grow bags initially on 1.6 acres of land. He spoke about the grow bag system noting that it produced nearly four times the yield of a traditional in-ground system. He said a return on investment was not attainable with a traditional in-ground system. He said the garden now had 4,000 grow bags, and four plants were grown per grow bag.

Mr. Earle commented on how, by thinking outside the box, the Dania Beach CRA had shown how “higher and best use” of vacant property and promotion of active, healthy lifestyles could be incorporated together into a redevelopment plan that benefited the city as a whole.

He said the property used for the garden continued to be zoned residential, and not as a park, so that if the highest and best of the property changed over time, the garden could easily be relocated to another vacant piece of property without losing the harvest.

Mr. Earle commented that they had started extensive special events and social media programs as well as farmers market promotions. He showed a slide containing various marketing collateral they used. He said they treated it as a business. Mr. Earle said the garden generated \$15,000 in revenue last year during the first three months. He spoke about the need to plant cash crops which were crops that the residents would eat as well as what consumers would purchase. He said they started off with a variety of 25 different plants and, through experience, they whittled the list down to about 10 plants which they considered their cash crops. The cash crops were those that restaurants and distributors wanted, he said, noting how the built in demand supported their long term plan.

He spoke briefly about the history of community gardens and stated that often the reason gardens failed was because some residents stop maintaining their plots and the garden over time and they became overgrown and unsightly. He said the entire Patch garden was a market garden that was run and managed like a business operation with everything being maintained consistently.

Mr. Earle spoke about some of the special garden events that they held that involved the community. He pointed out how their community garden also had a weekly farmers market that included other vendors. He explained that everything in their market/garden was naturally grown without pesticides using heirloom varieties and was non-GMO.

He showed slides of when they were preparing their site and indicated that they had some initial costs associated with drainage issues. He stated that they had volunteers from all over Broward County. He said one of their partners was the Housing Authority from the city of Fort Lauderdale and they were putting in 1,200 bags as part of the overall distribution network. He showed slides of volunteers planting seeds as well as fully grown crops which included kale, carrots, tomatoes, lettuce, herbs, etc.

He spoke about how they worked with partners to develop the program. Through their partnerships with BRHPC and others, he said they had developed connections that cities and CRA's typically would not have. He said they received \$129,000 in grants from various sources including the federal government and the Health Foundation.

Mr. Earle spoke how they had involved students in the program. He mentioned that they were going to be developing curriculum to teach school children the STEM disciplines from the community garden.

He said the community garden had been a labor of love for him and their staff, and the city commissioners had been extremely supportive of the effort.

Mrs. Bryan asked Mr. Earle about job creation. Mr. Earle said their long term goal over 3-4 years was to build the community garden to a point where it would be revenue neutral. Through grant funds, he said they were able to hire three residents and as the revenues increased over time, they expected to be able to fully cover their salaries from the garden. He stressed the importance of setting the expectation with our residents that the garden was a business and, as such, it would require 3-4 years to reach breakeven. He said as their community garden had grown, they were beginning to see the need for other ancillary businesses; for example, delivery people, people to wash and pack the produce prior to delivery, accountants, lawyers, etc.

Mrs. Peerman asked if everything was being handled through the CRA, including the grants. Mr. Earle said it was. He said they hired a program manager, Dion Taylor, president of ENO Consulting Group. He commented that government operated best with a public-private partnership; therefore, they brought in Mr. Taylor from the private sector who had extensive experience in business and would help grow revenues. The employees were hired through ENO Consulting and the revenues generated from the garden covered their salaries. Mr. Earle said he paid Mr. Taylor who in turn paid the residents that worked under him. In theory, Mr. Earle said he could hire the residents directly but he said it was more seamless if they got their training from one person.

Mr. Earle said there were five other cities that were interested in establishing a community garden. He said Mr. Taylor would be the program manager for all the cities. He spoke about the overall benefit of the program to South Florida, noting that Broward County had thousands of acres of land that could be utilized and a huge demand existed for locally grown organic food that was not being met. He said they would train the other cities and share their knowledge base. Through the grants that they received he said they would provide training to cities because they wrote them in such a way to allow for sharing the resources .

Mr. Ruzzano asked Mr. Earle how much property they were looking to have in 3-4 years. Mr. Earle said their plan for the next two years was to bring in \$50,000-\$100,000 per year conservatively. Mr. Earle said the intent was to properly train their residents to take it over and that the process would take time as many of the residents might not have business training. He said the program needed to become sustainable without being dependent on the government.

He said they would be happy to assist Margate. Mrs. Peerman asked what would happen when the CRA ended. Mr. Earle said the goal would be to give the community control of the endeavor and it would be a form of a non-profit that would be led by the participating cities with each becoming its own profit center. Each city would keep what it made.

Chair Talerico commented that the Board had an interest and direction was given to staff to find out more about how Margate could get involved in the project and report back. There was a brief discussion about a few properties that might be suitable. Mr. Earle advised that it need not be in the CRA; it could be the City that participated. Mrs. Peerman suggested the property along Rock Island Road from Southgate Boulevard to Royal Palm Boulevard.

Mr. Ruzzano asked whether the profits had to be spent in a certain way. Mr. Earle responded that they reinvested in the business because they wanted to grow the business.

---

4A. **RESOLUTION 439:** Approving a licensing agreement between the Margate Community Redevelopment Agency and What's Cooking, Inc.

Eugene M. Steinfeld, Board Attorney, read the resolution title.

Diane Colonna, Executive Director, explained the item related to the Sounds at Sundown events and that it was a contract with the food vendor that the CRA had used in the past. She said the monthly events would run February through June and include: up to 15 trucks per event; cross promotion through social media; payment of \$40 per truck to the CRA; and 50% of sponsorship revenues, if applicable.

Ms. Colonna said she understood that last year the Board had asked the CRA to consider other food truck vendors for comparison purposes. She explained that at the time this item was sent to the Board, the CRA had not received a response from the other vendors. Since then, the CRA heard from Food Truck Invasion who proposed partnering with Burger Beast, another food truck vendor, and they said they could meet the same terms that the CRA had with What's Cooking, Inc. currently. Ms. Colonna said it was her understanding that the CRA has had good experience with What's Cooking, but if the Board wished, the CRA could look at splitting the events between two vendors. She also mentioned that What's Cooking had asked if food trucks would be a part of the July 4<sup>th</sup> event and, if so, they would like to establish an agreement soon because the food trucks booked up quickly for July 4<sup>th</sup>.

Mrs. Peerman suggested adding July 4<sup>th</sup> to the contract. She said she was not opposed to splitting up the contract but she was not familiar with the other vendors. She mentioned a poor experience in the past with another food truck vendor that had not met expectations. Ms. Colonna said CRA staff would check out what other events they had done.

Mrs. Peerman asked Jochen Esser if he was familiar with the other company.

Jochen Esser, President, What's Cooking, Inc., responded that he was familiar. He said there were about three or four companies in South Florida that did what his company did. He said they all worked with the same 170-180 food trucks. He said each had trucks with which the others did not work. Mrs. Peerman asked if he would mind sharing the five month series. Mr. Esser said he felt his company had delivered a solid service for the City of Margate for the past three years, and he would love to continue doing so given that all the parameters were the same in the contract. Mrs. Peerman suggested proceeding and finding out more about the other trucks for use outside the Sounds at Sundown.

Mr. Ruzzano said he was happy with What's Cooking, Inc., and he preferred not to break it up. He said he would like to see at least 20 food trucks for July 4<sup>th</sup>. Mr. Esser said the trucks were booking quickly for July 4<sup>th</sup>.

Ms. Simone asked how many months until the CRA would try another vendor. Ms. Colonna said it would be outside of the Sounds at Sundown events which were running February through June and July 4<sup>th</sup>. She said another vendor could be used for food truck events in August-October if they were planned. Ms. Simone said those months were not the best months to give another company a fair shot. She was familiar with Food Truck Invasion and knew they had a very good reputation.

Ms. Simone made the following motion, seconded by Mrs. Peerman:

MOTION: TO SPLIT THE CONTRACT BETWEEN THE TWO COMPANIES  
(WHAT'S COOKING AND FOOD TRUCK INVASION/BURGER BEAST)

There was a discussion about how the months would be split between them. Mrs. Peerman asked Mr. Esser which split would work better for the food trucks, i.e., three months in a row, or every other month. Mr. Esser said either way could work. Since they promoted the events through social media campaigns, it worked best to have a continuous campaign.

Ms. Colonna suggested giving What's Cooking the first three months and July 4<sup>th</sup> and giving the other two months to the other company. Mrs. Peerman made the following amendment seconded by Mrs. Bryan:

**AMENDMENT:** TO GIVE WHAT'S COOKING, INC. THE NEXT THREE MONTHS AND JULY 4<sup>th</sup>;  
GIVE FOOD TRUCK INVASION THE REMAINING TWO MONTHS.

Mr. Ruzzano said he disagreed with splitting it up. He said the other company was not present at the meeting and it was not a good idea to split it up just because the other company said it would match the terms of the agreement with What's Cooking, Inc. He suggested trying it for next year.

Mr. Esser asked if there was anything specific that the CRA Board was not happy about pertaining to their service so that he could correct it. If not, he asked why there was a need to make changes. Mrs. Peerman said it had to do with the variety of food trucks. Mr. Esser said that they worked with 170 food trucks so there was plenty of variety. He said he would accommodate any special food truck requests they had.

Ms. Simone said the other company had expressed an interest in coming to Margate last year. She asked if they knew this subject was being discussed tonight and whether they were invited. Ms. Colonna said CRA staff had not heard back from them when the item was sent out so they were not aware the item was on the agenda.

Chair Talerico suggested giving What's Cooking the contract for the five months and July 4<sup>th</sup> and to then bring in the other company. He said What's Cooking had a proven track record and it was unknown whether the other company was available for the other months. The other company would be given an opportunity after the contract with What's Cooking, Inc. he said.

Mrs. Peerman withdrew her amendment and made the following amendment seconded by Mr. Ruzzano:

**AMENDMENT:** TO GO WITH THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT WITH WHAT'S COOKING FOR THE  
SOUNDS AT SUNDOWN AND TO ADD JULY 4<sup>th</sup>.

Mrs. Peerman asked Ms. Colonna to have the other company come to do a presentation. She said the different food truck companies had different followings of people. She said the CRA could look at doing events in August, September, and October and to try them then.

Rick Riccardi, 4829 South Hemingway Circle, commented that it seemed unusual to stop doing something when it was working fine for the community just because someone asked. He said it made a lot of sense to stay with the current vendor.

Todd Angier, 1913 N.W. 79<sup>th</sup> Terrace, said he heard that a concern had to do with variety; he suggested having What's Cooking submit a list to the CRA from which it could select the trucks it wanted. He said he agreed with keeping them if the only complaint had to do with variety.

Mrs. Peerman said different food trucks brought different people. Discussion ensued about different food trucks that were popular. She said the Board was not dissatisfied with Mr. Esser's food trucks. She said she understood that the other companies had different followings and that was what was being sought. Mr. Esser said his main focus during the past four years had been mostly northern Broward and southern Palm Beach County and those were his followers and who he thought Margate would want.

Ms. Simone said she was not dissatisfied with Mr. Esser. She said she wanted to give another company that had expressed an interest in coming to Margate a shot, noting that different trucks went solely with different companies. Mr. Esser disagreed and stated that every food truck worked with different promoters because they could not afford not to do so. Ms. Simone commented about a food truck that told her they had to pay more to come to Margate than what they normally paid their usual company which was why they had not returned to Margate. Mr. Esser said the rates he charged were industry standard for the most part. He said there were some events that were more expensive, some that were less, but most were the same.

**ROLL CALL ON**

**THE AMENDMENT:** Mr. Ruzzano, Yes; Mrs. Bryan, Yes; Mrs. Peerman, Yes; Ms. Simone, Yes;  
Mr. Talerico, Aye. The motion passed 5-0.

Rich Popovic, 6066 Winfield Boulevard, commented that the food truck events were a waste of time and they had nothing to do with redevelopment. He said the CRA needed to come up with something new.

Ms. Colonna explained that the events were meant to draw people into the area and to get them used to coming to Margate, as well as to establish a brand for Margate and to improve the City's image. They also provided entertainment and gave residents and visitors something to do, all of which was consistent with redevelopment objectives she said.

**ROLL CALL ON  
THE MOTION AS**

**AMENDED:** Mr. Ruzzano, Yes; Mrs. Bryan, Yes; Mrs. Peerman, Yes; Ms. Simone, Yes;  
Mr. Talerico, Aye. The motion passed 5-0.

---

5A. **DISCUSSION & POSSIBLE ACTION:** Approving a request from the Margate Chamber of Commerce for use of CRA-owned property March 16 through April 1, 2015 to hold a Margate City Fair.

After Eugene M. Steinfeld, Board Attorney, read the item title, Mrs. Peerman made the following motion, seconded by Mr. Ruzzano:

**MOTION:** SO MOVE TO APPROVE

Diane Colonna, Executive Director, spoke about a change that had come about since the time the item was submitted last week. She explained that the Chamber had initially requested use of the City stage and one of the recommended conditions for approval was they pay a deposit for any damages, but they had since changed their mind about using the stage; therefore, no stage deposit would be required. She also advised that another recommended condition was an advance deposit of \$2,000 for clean-up as per the Event Policy, noting that the CRA had issues from a previous carnival where garbage had been left behind. She explained that the CRA intended to conduct a walk-thru of the site in advance of the event and again afterwards to review the condition.

Mrs. Peerman asked Rick Riccardi, president of the Chamber, if they were agreeable to the deposit.

Rick Riccardi, questioned whether the fee was done because of the previous carnival. Mrs. Peerman said it was but she did not think it was fair to penalize the Chamber. Mrs. Peerman made the following amendment seconded by Mr. Ruzzano:

**AMENDMENT:** TO WAIVE THE CLEAN-UP DEPOSIT FEE FOR THE CHAMBER

Mrs. Bryan asked whether there would be any documentation done on the walk-thru.. Ms. Colonna said the intent was to have the property manager, Jim Nardi, do the walk-thru with Mr. Riccardi to identify any issues with the site.

**ROLL CALL ON**

**THE AMENDMENT:** Mr. Ruzzano, Yes; Mrs. Bryan, Yes; Mrs. Peerman, Yes; Ms. Simone, Yes;  
Mr. Talerico, Aye. The motion passed 5-0.

Mr. Riccardi spoke about the new layout for the event. He said they planned to fence the entire event and charge an entrance fee of \$2.00. Parking would be free he said.

Rebecca Case, Executive Director, Margate Chamber of Commerce, clarified that the \$2.00 was there to get people to invest in attending the event. Mr. Riccardi commented about the additional upfront costs of \$5,000-\$7,000 that they planned to invest in the event to make it more like a fair such as having a tent and stage. Mr. Riccardi said they did not have these costs in the past and they were looking for as much support as possible to get people to the fair.

There was a short discussion about including animal shows and Mr. Riccardi said he would make sure any animals they would have would be insured to ensure that there would be no liability for the them or the City. He said Hildebrand Rides was very interested in being partners with the Chamber in creating the fair. He said there would be a one day car show too.

There was a short discussion about some added features like a pie eating contest. Mr. Riccardi said he was open to hearing the Board's input on things they might like to see.

Ms.Colonna advised that the event would be going through the Development Review Committee since it had expanded and it would be featuring a variety of different activities.

**ROLL CALL ON  
THE MOTION AS**

**AMENDED:** Mr. Ruzzano, Yes; Mrs. Bryan, Yes; Mrs. Peerman, Yes; Ms. Simone, Yes;  
Mr. Talerico, Aye. The motion passed 5-0.

---

5B. **DISCUSSION & POSSIBLE ACTION:** Authorization to bid landscape maintenance contract.

After Eugene M. Steinfeld, Board Attorney, read the item title, Mrs. Peerman made the following motion, seconded by Ms. Simone:

**MOTION:** SO MOVE TO APPROVE

Mr. Ruzzano asked about trimming the palm trees in the median.

Diane Colonna, Executive Director, said tree trimming fell under the maintenance contract. She said she wanted to bring back an extension to the Board because of the timeline involved in putting the contract out to bid, noting the contract expired on March 20, 2015 and the process might take it beyond the March date and the CRA did not want to be left without any maintenance. She said the CRA would like to extend the contract through June; by then the bid would have gone out and the CRA could bring back an agreement with either a new company or the same one if they correct their issues.

**ROLL CALL:** Mr. Ruzzano, Yes; Mrs. Bryan, Yes; Mrs. Peerman, Yes; Ms. Simone, Yes;  
Mr. Talerico, Aye. The motion passed 5-0.

---

**6. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT**

**A. RMA Status Report**

Eugene M. Steinfeld, Board Attorney, read the item title.

Diane Colonna, Executive Director, referenced the status report that was included in the meeting back-up. Mrs. Peerman said the format was easier to read than the chart format.

**B. Tenant Updates**

Eugene M. Steinfeld, Board Attorney, read the item title.

Diane Colonna, Executive Director, reported that the CRA was still waiting on rent checks for January from a few tenants.

**C. Geo-technical Engineering Report - City Center Site**

Eugene M. Steinfeld, Board Attorney, read the item title.

Diane Colonna, Executive Director, said the report had been done by a sub-consultant of Kimley-Horn and Associates for the stormwater master permit project. She said several sites were tested and the conclusions were that they were generally acceptable for the proposed development that was planned. She said they did not expect any major constraints or limitations caused by any conditions of the soil. She said the samples were taken throughout the 36-acre site and the Kimley- Horn engineer commented on how consistent the soil composition was throughout the entire site.

Mrs. Peerman asked about the status of the stormwater permit and Broward County. Ms. Colonna said it was about a six month process and there were still a few more months to go.

**Other Items**

Diane Colonna, Executive Director, introduced Sarah Blake, Redevelopment Management Associates (RMA) who she said would be assisting the CRA with marketing and handling events.

Ms. Colonna said the CRA had been exploring office space possibilities in the commercial buildings the CRA owned, noting that some were better than others but it would likely cost tens of thousands of dollars to build out any of the spaces. She said the space that appeared to be the most economical was one that was previously a restaurant. Mrs. Peerman asked about other possible areas. Ms. Colonna said there was a possibility of renting office space somewhere else.

Mr. Ruzzano asked if there was room at the Building Department. Douglas E. Smith, City Manager, said he would need to discuss it with staff. He said they may want to consider relocating other staff offices. Mr. Ruzzano asked if the plan was to move the Economic Development to the Building Department. Mr. Smith said it would be looked at as it would be beneficial to keep their staff together instead of being split as they were currently.

---

## 7. BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

**Mrs. Peerman:** Mrs. Peerman welcomed Diane Colonna and said she was excited to have her level of knowledge and experience. She stated that the first Sounds at Sundown was amazing and it was very well attended. She said she was still interested in getting tents under which people could eat when it rained.

**Mrs. Bryan:** Mrs. Bryan thanked Diane Colonna for coming to Margate and said she looked forward to working with her. She asked Ms. Colonna to reach out to Margate and Broward County when looking for vendors.

**Mr. Ruzzano:** Mr. Ruzzano welcomed Diane Colonna. He offered his help to Rick Riccardi with the Fair. He asked the CRA to look into the façade grants. He spoke about a covered astro turf area at the Sports Complex. He said he was not sure if it was included in the Master Plan for the parks, but when construction started in the City Center, a place would be needed for the Sounds at Sundown and movies night. He said he agreed with Mr. Popovic about the idea of a bronze statue at Kaye Stevens Park.

**Ms. Simone:** Ms. Simone welcomed Diane Colonna.

**Mr. Talerico:** Mr. Talerico welcomed Diane Colonna.

There being no additional business, the meeting adjourned at 8:38 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Transcribed by Rita Rodi

Frank B. Talerico, Chair