

### **City Commission**

Mayor Joanne Simone Vice Mayor Tommy Ruzzano Joyce W. Bryan Lesa Peerman Frank B. Talerico

## City Manager

Douglas E. Smith

## **City Attorney**

Eugene M. Steinfeld

# **City Clerk**

Joseph J. Kavanagh

# REGULAR MEETING OF THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE

## Tuesday, May 26, 2015 10:00 AM

City of Margate Municipal Building

#### PRESENT:

Ken Reardon, Interim Building Director Diane Colonna, CRA Executive Director Kevin Wilson, Fire Inspector Andrew Pinney, Associate Planner Courtney O'Neill, Associate Planner Dan Topp, Code Compliance Officer

#### **ALSO PRESENT:**

Mike Troxell, Thomas Engineering Group, LLC

#### **ABSENT**

Sam May Director of Public Works
Michael Jones, Director of Parks and Recreation
Jeanine Athias, Engineer, excused
Lt. Michael Palma, Police
Ben Ziskal, AICP, CEcD, Director of Economic Development

The regular meeting of the Margate Development Review Committee (DRC), having been properly noticed, was called to order by Andrew Pinney at **10:00 AM on Tuesday, May 26, 2015**, in the Commission Chambers at City Hall, 5790 Margate Boulevard, Margate, Florida 33063.

1) APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM THE APRIL 28, 2015 and MAY 12, 2015 DRC MEETING.

The minutes were approved as written.

- 2) NEW BUSINESS
  - A. DRC NO. 05-15-03 CONSIDERATION OF CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY FOR

PLANET FITNESS, PEPPERTREE PLAZA **LOCATION:** 5466-5474 W. SAMPLE ROAD

**ZONING:** TOC-G GATEWAY

**LEGAL DESCRIPTION:** TRACT "A", OF "PEPPERTREE PLAZA",

ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 132, PAGE 23, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA. **PETITIONER:** MICHAEL TROXELL, THOMAS ENGINEERING GROUP

# **Economic Development Department**

### REGULAR DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING May 26, 2015 PAGE 2

<u>Mike Troxell</u> stated that they were putting a gym in the space and that there would be no other changes at this time. Mr. Troxell said the gym would be located in the northeast corner of the center.

#### **DRC Comments:**

<u>Ken Reardon</u> explained that the use would change from assembly 2 (restaurant) to assembly 3 (gym) which is less stringent and there were no hard issues other than going through the normal set of plans for any alterations to the building.

Kevin Wilson would wait for the submittal of the fire alarm plans.

## Andrew Pinney asked that

- The Photometric plan be changed to reflect a ½ candle measured at 6 feet above grade at the south property line that abuts the residentially zoned property.
- The excess dumpsters be removed from the property or additional enclosures be built to house them.

Mr. Troxell explained that seven (7) of the dumpsters had already been removed and that the company may be submitting plans for two (2) enclosures.

Mr. Pinney explained that the dumpster enclosures had to be in place before the certificate of occupancy is issued.

Mr. Pinney stated that the item was approved subject to the conditions as stated.

# B. DRC NO. 05-15-04 CONSIDERATION OF AN ORDINANCE TO REVISE ARTICLE XXXIX. SIGN CODE OF THE MARGATE ZONING CODE

<u>Courtney O'Neill</u> explained that the revisions for the Sign Code have been in the works for some time and they received guidance for some of the changes through two City Commission workshops.

She highlighted some of the changes:

- Definitions housekeeping changes to make the definitions clearer
- Section 39.3 General requirements for signs in Zoning Districts
- All signs may use up to five (5) colors instead of three (3); white black bronze and the color of the building do not count towards the allowed colors.
- Section 39.5 Residential District Permanent signs Ms. O'Neill asked for additional feedback regarding new sign standards for regulating residential identification signs. The signs are now allowed on the subdivision wall and the sign copy area is allowed an aggregate area of 64 square feet. Additionally, they are removing the requirement for two lines of maximum copy.
- Section 39.6 Non Residential District Permanent signs;
  - The main identification wall signs are no longer required to be installed perpendicular at a 90 degree angle to the ground;
  - They added an additional location or availability for visibility from a major roadway. The 90 degree perpendicular angle requirement has been removed.

## REGULAR DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING May 26, 2015 PAGE 3

- Increased from ½ square foot to one square foot per linear foot of frontage for secondary signs.
- The TOC sign regulations were blended with the Sign code.
- Section 39.7 Temporary signs the maximum number of signs was changed from 3 to 5 and they can remain a maximum of 12 consecutive months.
- Section 39.8 Supplemental regulations changeable copy signs are now available to hospitals and banks. They have added digital copies for gas stations and the maximum allowable height has been changed from 8 feet to 9 feet.
- Window Signs are now allowed 75% maximum coverage with five colors; the exterior window sign lettering was increased from 8-inches to 12-inches and illuminated window signs have been increased from 2 square feet to 4 square feet.
- Car Dealerships were added with major input from the dealerships.
- Promotional advertising banners were added as a pilot project to allow multi-tenant areas the use of permanently installed poles that tenants could use to display their banners signs to aid with visibility.
- Uniform Sign Plan Property owners are no longer required to submit this for multi-tenant properties.
- Section 39.17 All human signs are prohibited.
- Section 39.19 Sign waivers would now be submitted to the Board of Adjustment instead of the Community Redevelopment Agency.

<u>Ken Reardon</u> asked for clarification on several definitions - Ms. O'Neill explained the definitions. Mr. Reardon asked if there was any penalty attached to Human Signs and how they would be enforced. Ms. O'Neill felt that it would be handled the same as a prohibited sign. Mr. Topp stated that Code Compliance along with the Police Department would handle it with verbal warnings and written warnings to the property owner.

<u>Dianne Colonna</u> was concerned that some of the changes to the window signs, temporary and promotional signs might be too permissive.

Andrew Pinney had some concerns regarding the following:

#### Section 39.5 – Address Block Signs

- He felt that 6 square feet might be too large for an address sign
- That the 18-inch letter height limitation was not necessary for Residential signs.

#### Page 26 – Main Identification wall signs for commercial properties

 suggested adding the wording "eligible frontage" for those businesses whose main customer entrance did not front a roadway frontage.

#### Page 29 – Directional signs

felt that the size of the sign should be allowed based on acreage;
 He wondered if Goodwill and Salvation Army could have directional signs to pinpoint their donation sites.

### General information signs

- limiting the percentage of parking spaces that could be labeled.

### REGULAR DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING May 26, 2015 PAGE 4

- Page 38 temporary signs
  - felt it might be beneficial to allow five of each type of temporary signs
- Page 39 Residential Announcing Signs/Contractor's Signs
  - asked if they could add the same wording for announcing signs as for contractor's signs. He felt that 8 square feet seems small for an announcing sign.
  - The Special Event Signage height maximum could be increased from 6 feet.
- Page 53 changeable copy signs
  - Approves of the addition of electronic signage felt that the wording should be electronic message center.

Line b – felt that four (4) minutes was too long and suggested shortening it to 90 seconds

- Page 54 suggested adding electronic signage for fast food restaurants.
- Page 68 Commercial Monument signs
  - Replaceable tenant panels increase the number of tenant panels allowed to up to eight on each side since they are not required to be identical on each side.

<u>Mitch Pellecchia</u> clarified that the bullet points that Ms. O'Neill spoke about were all a part of the ordinance.

3) GENERAL DISCUSSION.

There was no general discussion.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 10:44 AM.

Respectfully submitted,	Prepared by: Carleen Steadmar
	Date
Andrew Pinney,	
Associate Planner	

cc: Mayor and City Commission, City Manager, City Attorney, Associate Planners, Petitioners, Committee Members