

500 Australian Avenue South Suite 530 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Phone: +1 561.746.6900 Fax: +1 561.746.8333 www.chenmoore.com

Date: February 6, 2017 Sent via email JAthias@margatefl.com

City of Margate Department of Environmental & Engineering Services Jeanine Athias, P.E. Engineer 901 NW 66th Avenue, Suite A Margate, FL 33063

Subject: 2017 Water Main Improvements Project – 2017-005 Bid Evaluation

Dear Ms. Athias:

Chen Moore and Associates (CMA) has conducted a review of the four bids received on January 19th for the 2017 Water Main Improvements Project – Bid No. 2017-005. The lowest bidder is Roadway Construction LLC with a total bid of \$1,945,100.00.

The bid evaluation indicates that some unit costs for the bid items are significantly higher than average unit costs received during the bid and engineer's estimate such as ADA ramps installation (item 30), relocation of mailboxes (item 39), and restoration of existing irrigation (item 40). In addition, unit costs for five bid items are lower in comparison with other bids received and the engineer's cost estimate. Those items are as follows:

Line item No. 3: Maintenance of Traffic at \$19,250.00/EA

Line item No. 13: Furnish and Install 4" Gate Valve at \$900.60/EA Line item No. 14: Furnish and Install 6" Gate Valve at \$1,073.30/EA Line item No. 15: Furnish and Install 8" Gate Valve at \$1,422.60/EA Line item No. 16: Furnish and Install 12" Gate Valve at \$2,591.90/EA

The prices bid for the line items seem to be in line with corresponding bids and the engineer's estimate except for the line items mentioned above. In additions, the phasing plan submitted identified a total of four phases with two crews working simultaneously for pipe installation and restoration. The number of linear feet to be installed per phase and required work on Winfield Boulevard was identified in the phasing plan submitted.

The references submitted by the contractor were verified as part of the bid evaluation. A total of four references were verified and positive feedback was obtained; however, the references contacted did not indicate extensive utility construction experience. For that reason, CMA contacted additional references related to utility construction from the completed projects list submitted with the bid package and positive feedback was obtained.

In conclusion, Roadway Construction, LLC was identified as the lowest bidder, received good reviews from references, provided required phasing plan and submitted fair and reasonable bid prices in general.

Respectfully Submitted,

CHEN MOORE AND ASSOCIATES

Paula A. Fonseca, P.E.

Engineer

CITY OF MARGATE 2017 WATER MAIN IMPROVEMENTS BID EVALUATION - 2017-005

							Roadway Co	nstruction, LLC	% Difference (Avg-Roadway)	American Pipeline C	onstruction, LLC	% Difference (Avg- American)	RP Utility	Excavation	% Difference (Avg- RPU)	Standford Constru	uction	% Difference (Avg-Stanford)		CHEN N	100RE	% Difference
ITEM NO	D. DESCRIPTION	Section 1025 REF.	QUANTITY	UNIT MI	IN UNIT COST MAX UNIT COS	OST AVG U	JNIT COST UNIT COST	TOTAL COST		UNIT COST	TOTAL COST		UNIT COST	TOTAL COST		UNIT COST TOT	TAL COST		QUANTITY	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	(Avg-CMA)
	CONSTRUCTION - GENERAL																					
_	BONDS AND INSURANCE	1.20	1	LS \$	42,143.00 \$ 114,150.	-	85,916.55 \$ 97,373.20			90,000.00	90,000.0					,, ,	42,143.00	49%	1	\$ 110,000.0 \$	110,000.00	-
	MOBILIZATION	1.30	1	LS \$	95,000.00 \$ 228,400.		132,516.05 \$ 105,638.20			95,000.00	95,000.0						101,026.00	76%		\$ 220,000.0 \$	220,000.00	
	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC	1.40	1	LS \$	19,250.00 \$ 114,150.		53,965.00 \$ 19,250.00			30,000.00 \$	30,000.0						52,460.00	97%	1	\$ 110,000.0 \$	110,000.00	
4	ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION FOR EXCAVATION IN HARD ROCK GENERAL SUBTOTAL	1.50	2500	LF \$	0.01 \$ 2.5	2.50 \$	0.90 \$ 0.10 Total	7		1.00 \$	2,500.0 217,500.0		6 \$ 0.01 Total				6,250.00 201,879.00	277%	2500	\$ 35.00 \$ Total \$	87,500.00 527,500.0 0	
	GENERAL SUBTUTAL						TOTAL	\$ 222,511.40		TOTAL \$	217,500.0	,	Total	\$ 450,725.00		TOTAL 3 Z	201,879.00			Total \$	527,500.00	,
	CONSTRUCTION - UTILITY ITEMS																					+
5	FURNISH & INSTALL 4" DIP WATER MAIN PIPE	1.60	400	LF \$	36.18 \$ 60.8).84 \$	46.33 \$ 39.30	\$ 15,720.00	85% \$	49.00	19,600.0	106%	\$ 36.18	\$ 14,472.00	78%	\$ 60.84 \$	24,335.04	131%	400	\$ 40.00 \$	16,000.00	0 869
6	FURNISH & INSTALL 6" DIP WATER MAIN PIPE	1.60	11000	LF \$	30.51 \$ 55.0	5.06 \$	41.92 \$ 32.10	\$ 353,100.00	77% \$	50.00	550,000.0	1199	\$ 30.51	\$ 335,610.00	73%	\$ 55.06 \$ 6	605,642.08	131%	11,000	\$ 45.00 \$	495,000.00	0 107
		1.60	460	LF \$		3.50 \$	51.78 \$ 38.70			50.00 \$	23,000.0						38,409.90	161%	460	\$ 55.00 \$	25,300.00	
	FURNISH & INSTALL 10" DIP WATER MAIN PIPE	1.60	70	LF \$		3.00 \$	67.53 \$ 53.20				6,160.0						6,036.18	128%	70	\$ 60.00 \$	4,200.00	
	FURNISH & INSTALL 12" DIP WATER MAIN PIPE	1.60	10	LF \$		8.95 \$	171.05 \$ 126.80	, ,		93.00 \$	930.0	517		7		, ,	4,189.50	245%	10	\$ 70.00 \$	700.00	
	FURNISH & INSTALL 1" PE WATER SERVICE FURNISH & INSTALL 1" PE WATER SERVICE IN 2" PVC CASING	1.70	52 73	EA \$	634.50 \$ 1,700.0 939.00 \$ 2,315.0	0.00 \$	1,157.72 \$ 1,178.10 1,778.45 \$ 1,759.30			1,700.00 \$ 2,100.00 \$	88,400.0 153,300.0						58,149.70 169.009.64	97% 130%	52 73	\$ 800.00 \$ \$ 1.100.00 \$	41,600.00 80.300.00	
	FURNISH & INSTALL PRESSURE MAIN FITTINGS	1.80	17	TN \$	50.00 \$ 6,101.		3,750.52 \$ 4,851.00			4,000.00	68,000.0				1%		103,718.25	163%	17	\$ 5,000.00 \$	85,000.00	
	FURNISH & INSTALL 4" GATE VALVE	1.90	3	EA \$		0.00 \$	2,576.31 \$ 900.60			3,000.00	9,000.0					\$ 1,404.63 \$	4,213.89	55%	3	\$ 1,500.00 \$	4,500.00	
	FURNISH & INSTALL 6" GATE VALVE	1.90	45	EA \$	1,073.30 \$ 9,500.		3,444.05 \$ 1,073.30			1,700.00	76,500.0						67,630.94	44%	45	\$ 2,000.00 \$	90,000.00	
	FURNISH & INSTALL 8" GATE VALVE	1.90	5	EA \$		0.00 \$	3,816.21 \$ 1,422.60			2,400.00 \$	12,000.0					\$ 1,842.23 \$	9,211.14	48%		\$ 3,000.00 \$	15,000.00	
_	FURNISH & INSTALL 12" GATE VALVE	1.90	1	EA \$	2,591.90 \$ 10,000.		4,593.16 \$ 2,591.90			3,000.00	3,000.0					\$ 2,780.74 \$	2,780.79	61%	1	\$ 3,500.00 \$	3,500.00	
17	FURNISH & INSTALL FIRE HYDRANT	1.10	31	EA \$		5.00 \$	5,562.92 \$ 4,372.10			4,500.00 \$	139,500.0				175%		112,362.39	65%	31	\$ 5,000.00 \$	155,000.00	
	FURNISH & INSTALL MANUAL BLOW-OFF ASSEMBLY CONNECTION TO EXISTING WATER MAIN	1.11	62	EA \$	1,395.75 \$ 2,500.0 1,500.00 \$ 2,649.0		2,014.94 \$ 1,864.00 2,040.95 \$ 1,614.30			2,300.00 \$ 1,500.00 \$	6,900.0					\$ 1,395.75 \$ \$ 2,649.50 \$ 1	4,187.24 164,275.20	69% 130%	62	\$ 4,000.00 \$ \$ 5.000.00 \$	12,000.00 310.000.00	
	REMOVE AND DISPOSE EXISTING WATER MAIN	1.13	9	EA \$		9.09 \$	469.00 \$ 596.90	, ,		500.00	4,500.0						6,111.81	145%	9	\$ 420.00 \$	3,780.00	
21	FURNISH AND INSTALL TAPPING SLEEVE AND VALVE (10"x6")	1.14	1	EA \$	6.000.00 \$ 10.000.		8.166.85 \$ 6.711.10			6.000.00	6,000.0					\$ 9.956.30 \$	9,956.30	122%	1	\$ 15.000.00 \$	15.000.00	
22	FURNISH AND INSTALL SAMPLE POINT	1.15	42	EA \$	100.00 \$ 1,155.	5.86 \$	530.74 \$ 367.10	\$ 15,418.20	69% \$	500.00	21,000.0	949	\$ 100.00	\$ 4,200.00	19%	\$ 1,155.86 \$	48,545.91	218%	42	\$ 750.00 \$	31,500.00	0 141
23	SANITARY SEWER LATERAL REPLACEMENT	1.16	40	EA \$	100.00 \$ 2,436.	5.22 \$	1,262.28 \$ 1,012.90	\$ 40,516.00	80% \$	1,500.00 \$	60,000.0	119%	\$ 100.00	\$ 4,000.00	8%		97,448.80	193%	40	\$ 1,500.00 \$	60,000.00	0 119
		1.17	9500	LF \$		3.90 \$	1.50 \$ 1.00			1.00 \$	9,500.0						37,045.54	260%	9,500	\$ 4.00 \$	38,000.00	
25	ABANDON AND GROUT EXISTING WATER MAIN (4"-6") UTILITY ITEMS SUBTOTAL	1.17	1000	LF \$	4.87 \$ 10.9	0.90 \$	7.84 \$ 5.60	\$ 5,600.00 \$ 1,048,807.40		10.00 \$ Total \$	10,000.0 1,360,290.0		\$ 10.90 Total		139%	\$ 4.87 \$ Total \$ 1,5	4,873.05	62%	1,000	\$ 6.00 \$ Total \$	6,000.00 1,492,380. 0	
	CONSTRUCTION - RESTORATION						Total	3 1,048,807.40		Total Ş	1,300,230.0	,	Total	3 1,402,133.40		10tai \$ 1,5	376,133.23			iotai ş	1,452,580.0	
26	RESTORE CONCRETE DRIVEWAYS AND MISCELLANEOUS CONCRETE	1.18	760	SY \$	25.28 \$ 68.3	3.24 \$	48.78 \$ 63.60	\$ 48,336.00	130% \$	38.00	28,880.0	78%	6 \$ 25.28	\$ 19,212.80	52%	\$ 68.24 \$	51,862.40	140%	760	\$ 50.00 \$	38,000.00	0 103
27	RESTORE ASPHALT DRIVEWAY	1.19	1100	SY \$	13.48 \$ 64.	1.81 \$	32.85 \$ 23.10	\$ 25,410.00	70% \$	30.00	33,000.0						71,295.70	197%	1,100	\$ 30.00 \$	33,000.00	0 91
28	(1.20	60	SY \$		3.50 \$	123.60 \$ 105.90			35.00 \$							18,510.00	250%	60	\$ 80.00 \$	4,800.00	
		1.21	690	SY \$		9.30 \$	40.31 \$ 37.70	,		27.00 \$							40,919.62	147%	690	\$ 50.00 \$	34,500.00	
30	FURNISH AND INSTALL ADA RAMPS WITH DETECTABLE WARNINGS RESTORE CURB AND GUTTER	1.22	28 1300	EA \$		2.40 \$ 2.02 \$	675.76 \$ 1,412.40 24.87 \$ 23.50			300.00 \$ 16.00 \$	8,400.0 20,800.0				16%		24,703.30 41.631.00	131% 129%	1,300	\$ 700.00 \$ \$ 40.00 \$	19,600.00 52.000.00	
	REMOVE EXISTING LIMEROCK BASE MATERIAL	1.24	1750	SY S		1.60 \$	5.12 \$ 11.60	, ,		5.00	8.750.0						6,615.00	74%	1,300		26,250.00	
33		1.25	1750	SY \$		5.00 \$	3.12 \$ 4.70		151% \$	5.00	8,750.0				3%	7 00 7	4,685.62	86%	1,750		17,500.00	
		1.26	1750	SY \$		3.00 \$	3.69 \$ 8.00			4.00	7,000.0						4,305.00	67%	1,750		15,750.00	
	FURNISH AND COMPACT LIMEROCK BASE MATERIAL	1.27	1750	SY \$		0.50 \$	14.59 \$ 15.70										35,875.00	140%	1,750		26,250.00	
36		1.28	1750	SY \$		3.75 \$	11.82 \$ 14.10			8.00 \$	14,000.0						32,812.50	159%	1,750		26,250.00	
	FURNISH AND PLACE ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT - SECOND LIFT (1")	1.28	6100	SY \$		1.10 \$	10.31 \$ 14.10			9.00 \$	54,900.0				87%		55,632.00	89%	6,100	\$ 15.00 \$	91,500.00	
38	MILL EXISTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT REMOVE AND RELOCATE EXISTING MAILBOXES	1.29	4350	SY \$	2.00 \$ 5.0 53.94 \$ 23,540.0	5.00 \$	3.50 \$ 3.50 7,335.61 \$ 23,540.00	,		5.00 \$ 2,000.00 \$	21,750.0						15,268.50 3,748.50	100% 51%	4,350 7,500	\$ 20.00 \$ \$ 1.00 \$	87,000.00 7,500.00	
40		1.31	1	LS \$	1,000.00 \$ 29,425.		12,575.00 \$ 29,425.00			5,000.00	5.000.0						14.875.00	118%	15,000	\$ 1.00 \$	15.000.00	
41	REMOVE AND REPLACE ST AUGUSTINE SOD (15,000 SY APPX)	1.32	1	LS \$	6,000.00 \$ 79,725.		50,047.50 \$ 69,465.00	\$ 69,465.00		45,000.00	45,000.0						79,725.00	159%	1	\$ 75,500.00 \$	75,500.00	
42	TREE REMOVAL	1.33	1	AL \$	37,000.00 \$ 37,000.	0.00 \$	37,000.00 \$ 37,000.00	\$ 37,000.00	100% \$	37,000.00	37,000.0	100%	\$ 37,000.00	\$ 37,000.00	100%	\$ 37,000.00 \$	37,000.00	100%	1	\$ 37,000.00 \$	37,000.00	0 100
	TREE REPLACEMENT	1.34	1	AL \$	50,000.00 \$ 50,000.		50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00		50,000.00 \$	50,000.0						50,000.00	100%		\$ 50,000.00 \$	50,000.00	
	CONCRETE THRUST BLOCK INSTALLATION	1.35	20	CY \$		9.30 \$	216.20 \$ 349.30	\$ 6,986.00		200.00 \$	4,000.0						4,161.00	96%	10	\$ 50.00 \$	500.00	
45	FURNISH AND INSTALL CUT-IN VALVE ASSEMBLY ¹ RESTORATION SUBTOTAL	1.36	5	EA \$	250.00 \$ 2,909.	9.00 \$	1,500.31 \$ 2,909.00 Total			1,000.00 \$	5,000.0 394,210.0		5 \$ 250.00 Total			\$ 1,842.23 \$ Total \$ 6	9,211.14 602,836.28	123%	5	\$ 5,000.00 \$ Total \$	25,000.00 682,900.0 0	
	MISCELLANEOUS						Total	\$ 603,081.20		Total \$	394,210.0	,	Iotai	\$ 294,441.60		iotai \$ 6	602,836.28			Total \$	682,900.00	4
46	PERMIT FEE (NPDES - NOI)	1.37	1	AL \$	600.00 \$ 600.0	0.00 \$	600.00 \$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	Ś	600.00	600.0	1	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00		\$ 600.00 \$	600.00		1	\$ 600.00 \$	600.00	0
	MISCELLANEOUS SUBTOTAL					1. 7		\$ 600.00		\$	600.0		,	\$ 600.00		\$	600.00			\$	600.00	
	CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL							\$ 1,875,000		\$	1,972,60)		\$ 2,213,900		\$ 2,3	383,448.57			\$	2,703,380	٥
	ALLOWANCE							\$ 70,000.00		Ş	70,000.0			\$ 70,000.00		\$	70,000.00			\$	270,338.00	J
	COST OF INDEMNIFICATION							\$ 100.00		\$	100.0			\$ 100.00		\$	100.00					_
1-0.00	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST m number shall only be used with approval of the Engineer and City							\$ 1,945,100.00		;	2,042,700.0)		\$ 2,284,000.00		\$ 2,4	453,548.57			\$	2,973,718	š

TOTAL ESTIMATED COST ¹ This item number shall only be used with approval of the Engineer and City

1 of 1 2/6/2017 Copy of 138.022-2017 Water Main Improvements - Cost Estimates.v2.xls



500 Australian Ave South, #530 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Phone: (561) 281-4480 Fax: (561) 746-8333

www.chenmoore.com

CITY OF MARGATE – 2017 Water Main Improvements BID No. 2017-005 Contractor Reference Check

Date: January 23, 2017

Contractor: Roadway Construction, LLC

Reference #1: City of Coral Springs – Glen Gorden – 954-345-2219

Contact Date: January

- 1) Has the contractor worked with you? Yes
- 2.) In what role? (Reference was PM, Client, Owner, etc.) Client
- 3.) What projects/type of projects? (Demo, utilities, etc.) Two projects a turn lane project and sidewalks/pathways.
- 4.) Was the project on time\on budget? Yes
- 5.) Were there any change orders (Owner or Contractor driven)? Yes, change orders were triggered by client and not by contractor
- 6.) How would you rate them? 10
- 7.) Would you use them again? Yes
- 8.) Any claims? None
- 9.) General Comments: Small company but very reliable. Very good. No concerns or safety issues during construction.

Reference #2: City of North Lauderdale – George Krawczyk – 954-724-7070

Contact Date: January 30, 2017

- 1.) Has the contractor worked with you? Yes twice
- 2.) In what role? (Reference was PM, Client, Owner, etc.) Owner
- 3.) What projects/type of projects? (Demo, utilities, etc.) municipality parking lot, roadway reconstruction



500 Australian Ave South, #530 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Phone: (561) 281-4480

Fax: (561) 746-8333 <u>www.chenmoore.com</u>

- 4.) Was the project on time\on budget? Yes on time/ a little over budget due to owner adding task
- 5.) Were there any change orders (Owner or Contractor driven)? Yes owner requested
- 6.) How would you rate them? 9 (good)
- 7.) Would you use them again? Yes
- 8.) Any claims? No
- 9.) General Comments: Good communication, good superintendent, quality firm

Reference #3: Town of Bay Harbor – Randy Daniel – 305-866-6241 Contact Date: January 31, 2017

- 1.) Has the contractor worked with you? Yes
- 2.) In what role? (Reference was PM, Client, Owner, etc.) Client
- 3.) What projects/type of projects? (Demo, utilities, etc.) Water main installation 1,000 LF
- 4.) Was the project on time\on budget? Yes
- 5.) Were there any change orders (Owner or Contractor driven)? Town originated change order
- 6.) How would you rate them? Good 8
- 7.) Would you use them again? Yes
- 8.) Any claims? No
- 9.) General Comments: easy to work with them. Good communication and accessible.

Reference #4: City of West Park – Dan Milien – 954-964-0284

Contact Date: January 31, 2017

- 1.) Has the contractor worked with you? Yes
- 2.) In what role? (Reference was PM, Client, Owner, etc.) Client



500 Australian Ave South, #530 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Phone: (561) 281-4480

Fax: (561) 746-8333 <u>www.chenmoore.com</u>

- 3.) What projects/type of projects? (Demo, utilities, etc.) Traffic calming improvements (speed humps
- 4.) Was the project on time\on budget? yes
- 5.) Were there any change orders (Owner or Contractor driven)? No
- 6.) How would you rate them? 9
- 7.) Would you use them again? yes
- 8.) Any claims? No
- 9.) General Comments: Very responsive to customer complaints.

Reference #5: Carlos Baro – Miami-Date County 954-270-3677 **Contact Date: February 1, 2017**

- 1.) Has the contractor worked with you? Yes
- 2.) In what role? (Reference was PM, Client, Owner, etc.) Client
- 3.) What projects/type of projects? (Demo, utilities, etc.) 200LF of 8" DI water main in the City of Coral Gables in residential area.
- 4.) General Comments: They were diligent and cleaned the working and staging areas as requested by the City.

Reference #6: Miami-Date County – Michael Mazer – 305-519-7392 **Contact Date: February 6, 2017**

- 1.) Has the contractor worked with you? Yes
- 2.) In what role? (Reference was PM, Client, Owner, etc.) Client and prime contractor relationship
- 3.) What projects/type of projects? (Demo, utilities, etc.) Installation of 5,000 linear feet of10" and 12" force main and gravity line. Includes restoration.
- 4.) Was the project on time\on budget? Yes/yes



500 Australian Ave South, #530 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Phone: (561) 281-4480 Fax: (561) 746-8333

www.chenmoore.com

- 5.) Were there any change orders (Owner or Contractor driven)? Yes, due to scope of project changed.
- 6.) How would you rate them? 9
- 7.) Would you use them again? Yes
- 8.) Any claims? Yes, the county is currently in negotiations with the contractor.
- 9.) General Comments: Very responsive, reasonable, and willing to have meetings with client. Very professional and easy to communicate. The contractor provided a good set of as-builts in a short amount of time.