



EVALUATION SHEET FOR RFP 2018-022a

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CONSULTING SERVICES

Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1. <b>Qualifications/Experience of the:</b> Firm's Technical Capabilities, Specialized Knowledge, Professional Qualifications, and Knowledge and Experience of Project Team.	0 – 25	<u>25</u>
2. <b>Technical:</b> Overall process of Proposed Scope of Services.	0 – 20	<u>20</u>
3. <b>References:</b> Experience working with the general public and agencies on similar park projects.	0 – 20	<u>20</u>
4. <b>Presentation:</b> Demonstrated ability to meet project schedule and complete the project within the budget (based on staff reference checks).	0 – 15	<u>15</u>
5. <b>Cost:</b>	0 – 10	<u>6</u>
6. <b>Location and Designation Considerations:</b> Other factors as determined by the Selection Panel (i.e., knowledge of local issues, quality of presentation materials, responsiveness, etc.).	0 – 10	<u>10</u>
<b>TOTAL POINTS AWARDED</b>		<u>96</u> =====

Printed Name: Robert V. Meltzer

Date: 8/15/2018

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

The Mellegren Planning Group



EVALUATION SHEET FOR RFP 2018-022a

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CONSULTING SERVICES

Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1. <b>Qualifications/Experience of the:</b> Firm's Technical Capabilities, Specialized Knowledge, Professional Qualifications, and Knowledge and Experience of Project Team.	0 – 25	<u>23</u>
2. <b>Technical:</b> Overall process of Proposed Scope of Services.	0 – 20	<u>18</u>
3. <b>References:</b> Experience working with the general public and agencies on similar park projects.	0 – 20	<u>18</u>
4. <b>Presentation:</b> Demonstrated ability to meet project schedule and complete the project within the budget (based on staff reference checks).	0 – 15	<u>15</u>
5. <b>Cost:</b>	0 – 10	<u>6</u>
6. <b>Location and Designation Considerations:</b> Other factors as determined by the Selection Panel (i.e., knowledge of local issues, quality of presentation materials, responsiveness, etc.).	0 – 10	<u>10</u>
<b>TOTAL POINTS AWARDED</b>		<u>90</u> =====

Printed Name: Aaron Tamber

Date: 8/15/18

Signature: [Signature]  
The Mellegren Planning Group



EVALUATION SHEET FOR RFP 2018-022a

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CONSULTING SERVICES

Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1. <b>Qualifications/Experience of the:</b> Firm's Technical Capabilities, Specialized Knowledge, Professional Qualifications, and Knowledge and Experience of Project Team.	0 – 25	<u>21</u>
2. <b>Technical:</b> Overall process of Proposed Scope of Services.	0 – 20	<u>18</u>
3. <b>References:</b> Experience working with the general public and agencies on similar park projects.	0 – 20	<u>19</u>
4. <b>Presentation:</b> Demonstrated ability to meet project schedule and complete the project within the budget (based on staff reference checks).	0 – 15	<u>6</u>
5. <b>Cost:</b>	0 – 10	<u>6</u>
6. <b>Location and Designation Considerations:</b> Other factors as determined by the Selection Panel (i.e., knowledge of local issues, quality of presentation materials, responsiveness, etc.).	0 – 10	<u>9</u>
<b>TOTAL POINTS AWARDED</b>		<u>79</u> =====

Printed Name: Robert Massimelli

Date: 8/15/18

Signature: [Signature]

The Mellegren Planning Group



EVALUATION SHEET FOR RFP 2018-022a

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CONSULTING SERVICES

Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1. <b>Qualifications/Experience of the:</b> Firm's Technical Capabilities, Specialized Knowledge, Professional Qualifications, and Knowledge and Experience of Project Team.	0 – 25	<u>20</u>
2. <b>Technical:</b> Overall process of Proposed Scope of Services.	0 – 20	<u>15</u>
3. <b>References:</b> Experience working with the general public and agencies on similar park projects.	0 – 20	<u>15</u>
4. <b>Presentation:</b> Demonstrated ability to meet project schedule and complete the project within the budget (based on staff reference checks).	0 – 15	<u>15</u>
5. <b>Cost:</b>	0 – 10	<u>10</u>
6. <b>Location and Designation Considerations:</b> Other factors as determined by the Selection Panel (i.e., knowledge of local issues, quality of presentation materials, responsiveness, etc.).	0 – 10	<u>10</u>
<b>TOTAL POINTS AWARDED</b>		<u>85</u> =====

Printed Name: Robert V. Marston

Date: 8/15/2018

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]





EVALUATION SHEET FOR RFP 2018-022a

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CONSULTING SERVICES

Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1. <b>Qualifications/Experience of the:</b> Firm's Technical Capabilities, Specialized Knowledge, Professional Qualifications, and Knowledge and Experience of Project Team.	0 – 25	<u>21</u>
2. <b>Technical:</b> Overall process of Proposed Scope of Services.	0 – 20	<u>17</u>
3. <b>References:</b> Experience working with the general public and agencies on similar park projects.	0 – 20	<u>17</u>
4. <b>Presentation:</b> Demonstrated ability to meet project schedule and complete the project within the budget (based on staff reference checks).	0 – 15	<u>15</u>
5. <b>Cost:</b>	0 – 10	<u>10</u>
6. <b>Location and Designation Considerations:</b> Other factors as determined by the Selection Panel (i.e., knowledge of local issues, quality of presentation materials, responsiveness, etc.).	0 – 10	<u>9</u>

TOTAL POINTS AWARDED

89  
=====

Printed Name: Aaron Tauber

Date: 8/15/18

Signature: [Signature]



EVALUATION SHEET FOR RFP 2018-022a

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CONSULTING SERVICES

Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1. <b>Qualifications/Experience of the:</b> Firm's Technical Capabilities, Specialized Knowledge, Professional Qualifications, and Knowledge and Experience of Project Team.	0 – 25	<u>19</u>
2. <b>Technical:</b> Overall process of Proposed Scope of Services.	0 – 20	<u>15</u>
3. <b>References:</b> Experience working with the general public and agencies on similar park projects.	0 – 20	<u>17</u>
4. <b>Presentation:</b> Demonstrated ability to meet project schedule and complete the project within the budget (based on staff reference checks).	0 – 15	<u>5</u>
5. <b>Cost:</b>	0 – 10	<u>10</u>
6. <b>Location and Designation Considerations:</b> Other factors as determined by the Selection Panel (i.e., knowledge of local issues, quality of presentation materials, responsiveness, etc.).	0 – 10	<u>5</u>
<b>TOTAL POINTS AWARDED</b>		<u>71</u> =====

Printed Name: Robert Massimelli

Date: 8/15/18

Signature: [Signature]