City of Mar Park Improvements RFP NO. 202	- Vinson Park	
Date: January 30, 2020	1	Time 11:00A
Name of Bidders	ADDENDUM NO. 1	ADDENDUM NC 2
DW Recreation MBR Construction		$\checkmark$
MBR Construction		~

	D.W.RECREATION	MBR CONSTRUCTION
Ben Trapani	5	84
Cotter Christian	91	94
Curt Keyser	88	94
Mark Collins	96	97
Mike Jones	92	99
TOTAL	444	468



## PARK IMPROVEMENTS - VINSON PARK

## Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVAL	UATION CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1.	Overall quality of proposal: Playground site plan, warranties, quality of goods, other proposed services or amenities, etc.	0 - 40	38
2.	Firm's experience and past performance on similar projects.	0 – 20	20
3.	Approach to delivering a timely project with an innovative design (including work plan and schedule).	0 – 25	25
4.	Cost	0 – 15	14

Printed Name: Mark E. Collins\_\_\_\_\_ Signature: Upun E. files

Date: 2/6/20



## PARK IMPROVEMENTS - VINSON PARK

# Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVALUATION CRITERIA		POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1.	Overall quality of proposal: Playground site plan, warranties, quality of goods, other proposed services or amenities, etc.	0 – 40	37
2.	Firm's experience and past performance on similar projects.	0 – 20	20
3.	Approach to delivering a timely project with an innovative design (including work plan and schedule).	0 – 25	24
4.	Cost	0 – 15	15

## TOTAL POINTS AWARDED

961

Date: 2/6/20

Printed Name: Mark E. Collins

Signature: Upan E. felon



#### PARK IMPROVEMENTS – VINSON PARK

## Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVAL	UATION CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1.	Overall quality of proposal: Playground site plan, warranties, quality of goods, other proposed services or amenities, etc.	0 – 40	35
2.	Firm's experience and past performance on similar projects.	0 – 20	19
3.	Approach to delivering a timely project with an innovative design (including work plan and schedule).	0 – 25	22
4.	Cost	0 – 15	_15

## TOTAL POINTS AWARDED

Date:	2-6-20	

91

=========

Printed Name: Corren Curusting



## PARK IMPROVEMENTS - VINSON PARK

# Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVALUATION CRITERIA		POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1.	Overall quality of proposal: Playground site plan, warranties, quality of goods, other proposed services or amenities, etc.	0 - 40	39
2.	Firm's experience and past performance on similar projects.	0 – 20	18
3.	Approach to delivering a timely project with an innovative design (including work plan and schedule).	0 – 25	23
4.	Cost	0 – 15	14

#### TOTAL POINTS AWARDED

Printed Name:_	COTTEN	CUTAUSSIAU	
Signature:	CÉ		

94 ==========

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_



#### PARK IMPROVEMENTS - VINSON PARK

# Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVAL	UATION CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1.	Overall quality of proposal: Playground site plan, warranties, quality of goods, other proposed services or amenities, etc.	0 - 40	35
2.	Firm's experience and past performance on similar projects.	0 – 20	15
3.	Approach to delivering a timely project with an innovative design (including work plan and schedule).	0 – 25	20
4.	Cost	0 – 15	14

84

Printed Name: Ben Trapan Signature: Mon Zmito

Date: 2/6/2020



#### PARK IMPROVEMENTS - VINSON PARK

# Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVAL	UATION CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1.	Overall quality of proposal: Playground site plan, warranties, quality of goods, other proposed services or amenities, etc.	0 - 40	30
2.	Firm's experience and past performance on similar projects.	0 – 20	15
3.	Approach to delivering a timely project with an innovative design (including work plan and schedule).	0 – 25	17
4.	Cost	0 – 15	15

Printed Name: Ben Trayan: Signature: Brodynd

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 2/6/2020



#### PARK IMPROVEMENTS - VINSON PARK

# Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVAL	UATION CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1.	Overall quality of proposal: Playground site plan, warranties, quality of goods, other proposed services or amenities, etc.	0 - 40	30
	amenities, etc.	0 – 40	
2.	Firm's experience and past performance on similar projects.	0 – 20	20
3.	Approach to delivering a timely project with an innovative design (including work plan and schedule).	0 – 25	23
	work plan and schedule).	0-25	_~
4.	Cost	0 – 15	15

Printed Name: Curr A. Kersen Signature: Cant Mugu

 $\frac{88}{2}$ 



#### PARK IMPROVEMENTS - VINSON PARK

# Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVALUATION CRITERIA		POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1.	Overall quality of proposal: Playground site plan, warranties, quality of goods, other proposed services or amenities, etc.	0 - 40	35
2.	Firm's experience and past performance on similar projects.	0 – 20	20
3.	Approach to delivering a timely project with an innovative design (including work plan and schedule).	0 – 25	25
4.	Cost	0 – 15	14

Printed Name: Curs A. KEYSEL Signature: Curt Muppen

94 /

Date:  $\frac{2/4}{20}$ 



### PARK IMPROVEMENTS - VINSON PARK

# Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVALUATION CRITERIA		POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1.	Overall quality of proposal: Playground site plan, warranties, quality of goods, other proposed services or amenities, etc.	0 - 40	35
2.	Firm's experience and past performance on similar projects.	0 – 20	20
3.	Approach to delivering a timely project with an innovative design (including work plan and schedule).	0 – 25	22
4.	Cost	0 – 15	15

Printed Name: Michael Jones

Milloo Signature:

Date: 2/6/2020



### PARK IMPROVEMENTS - VINSON PARK

# Firms shall be evaluated in accordance with the weighted criteria listed below:

EVALUATION CRITERIA		POINT RANGE	AWARDED POINTS
1.	Overall quality of proposal: Playground site plan, warranties, quality of goods, other proposed services or amenities, etc.	0 - 40	40
2.	Firm's experience and past performance on similar projects.	0 – 20	20
3.	Approach to delivering a timely project with an innovative design (including work plan and schedule).	0 – 25	25
4.	Cost	0 – 15	14

Printed Name: Michael Jones Signature: Michael Jones

Date: 2/6/2020