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## Introduction

Lubavitch Hebrew Academy proposes to construct an expansion of their existing school in the City of Margate, Florida. The Lubavitch Hebrew Academy is currently housed in 31,282 square feet of building area located on the northeast corner of the intersection of SR 7 (US 441) and NW $15^{\text {th }}$ Street. It is proposed that the existing building be expanded to a total of 49,027 square feet by the construction of a second story on the portion of the building that is currently one story tall. The project has an expected build-out year of 2022.

The Lubavitch Hebrew Academy has a current enrollment of 350 children in Pre-K through $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade. The Academy has always intended to have a maximum enrollment of 400 students, but, as of this date, has not reached that number of enrollees. Hours of operation are expected to continue to be from 8:15 a.m. to $3: 45 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. Monday through Friday. Aftercare is available until 5:00 p.m.; however, there are only a relative handful of students who remain on campus during this time.

Access to the project site is expected to remain the same as at present. That is, there is an entrance to the Academy's parking lot on the east end of the property that connects to NW $15^{\text {th }}$ Street and an exit from the Academy's parking lot further to the west that also connects to NW $15^{\text {th }}$ Street. In addition, there is a small parking lot (five parking spaces) to the extreme east end of the Academy that has an entrance/exit driveway connection to NW $15^{\text {th }}$ Street. Figure 1 - Project Site Location, shows the location of the development.

Section 31-35 of the City of Margate Code of Ordinances provides guidelines for traffic impact studies of new developments in the City of Margate. In Section 31-35(2)c the Code notes that "An applicant for a development permit which will generate in excess of five hundred (500) trips per day according to the trip rates of the "Traffic Review \& Impact Planning System," Broward County Office of Planning, 1983, shall be required to submit to the city a traffic impact statement. Any such statement shall be prepared by a professional engineer registered by the state and shall assess the impact of the proposed development on all public streets and intersections within a one-mile radius of the perimeter of that development."

The Lubavitch Hebrew Academy has always intended to have a total of 400 students. The proposed expansion is merely intended to provide more room for better educational facilities. Therefore, the only increase in project trips would be those additional trips that occur as the student population rises from the current 350 students to its intended cap of 400 students. As Table 3 - Daily Trip Generation shows in the report, this always planned increase in student population will result in 206 new daily vehicle trips. This is well below the threshold in the City Code that requires a traffic impact study. In spite of this, a small area traffic impact study has been completed to assess the impacts of the school's traffic upon the project access points and the intersection of SR 7 (US 441) at NW $15^{\text {th }}$ Street.


Figure 1 - Site Location
Lubavitch Hebrew Academy
City of Margate, Florida
Thomas A. Hall, Inc.

## Data Collection

Five-hour (7:00-9:00 a.m. and 3:00-6:00 p.m.) turning-movement counts were collected in January 2021 at the study area intersection of SR 7 (US 441) at NW $15^{\text {th }}$ Street. In addition, traffic counts were collected at the Academy's driveways for the morning arrival time of Academy students (7:30-8:30 a.m.) and student departure time (3:30-4:30 p.m.). Copies of the traffic counts may be found in Appendix A - Traffic Counts.

The turning-movement counts were collected to determine the existing conditions at the significant intersections within the immediate study area.

A preliminary field review was conducted January 19, 2021 to obtain pertinent roadway geometry, pavement markings, signing, etc. In addition to the field review, aerial maps were consulted to verify intersection storage lane lengths and lane assignments.

A description of the studied roadways follows:
SR 7 (US 441) is a six-lane, north-south major arterial with north and southbound leftturn lanes in the median at NW $15^{\text {th }}$ Street. No east-west through or left-turn movements are permitted at the study intersection. The posted speed limit is 45 mph .

NW $15^{\text {th }}$ Street is a two-lane, undivided, east-west collector roadway with a parking lane on both sides of the road. The posted speed limit is 30 mph .

## Analyses

## Adjustment Factors

The January 2021 turning-movement counts were adjusted to peak season by the application of a Peak Season Conversion Factor (1.03) obtained from the Florida Department of Transportation's (FDOT) 2018 Peak Season Factor Category Report. Table 1 - Peak-hour Turning-movement Counts shows the adjusted peak season, peak-hour morning and afternoon peak-hour traffic volumes within the study area.

An Annual Growth Factor was derived from historic Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) reports obtained from FDOT's 2019 Florida Online Traffic Information for nearby count stations. A five-year growth analysis was conducted for the two nearby count stations on SR 7 (US 441) and Banks Road. A review of the count data, and a comparison of 2014 volumes to 2019 volumes, revealed that there was a 0.92 percent annual growth in traffic volumes in the study area. Copies of the annual growth rate worksheet and seasonal adjustment factors are provided in Appendix B - Adjustment Factors.


## Existing Conditions

Synchro signal operations analysis software was used to construct a model of the existing roadway network in the study area. The model relied upon the adjusted peak-hour, turning-movement counts shown in Table 1 and the geometric characteristics of the studied roadways. The analysis was completed in accordance with the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Methodology (HCM).

Note that the HCM analysis software does not model six-lane roadways well. As a result, SR 7 is shown as a four-lane roadway and the north and southbound through movements have been reduced by one third.

Copies of the Synchro reports for existing morning and afternoon peak-hour, peak-season conditions may be found in Appendix C - Existing Conditions Analyses. As reported in Table 2 - Peak Hour Queue Length, Level of Service and Delay Summary, the intersection of SR 7 (US 441) at NW $15^{\text {th }}$ Street currently operates well with little overall delay ( 3.0 seconds in the a.m. peak hour, 2.5 seconds in the p.m. peak hour) and the entrance and exit to the Academy function well.

It should be noted that HCM software doesn't evaluate the queue of vehicles turning right from a freeflow through movement onto a more minor street or driveway. This means that the westbound queue of vehicles turning into the Academy from NW $15^{\text {th }}$ Street is not addressed in the analysis. Field observations revealed that, in the afternoon peak hour for the Lubavitch Hebrew Academy, the queue of vehicles stretching to the east was, at one point, 20 vehicles in length. This was due to parents arriving before the class dismissal time and lining up in the westbound parking lane to wait for the opportunity to pick-up their children.

Table 2
Peak Hour Queue Length，Level of Service and Delay Summary Lubavitch Hebrew Academy

| A．M．Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Auxiliary <br> Lane <br> Length | Existing Conditions |  |  | Queue <br> Length <br> （Feet） | Background Traffic Conditions |  |  | Queue <br> Length <br> （Feet） | Total Traffic Conditions |  |  | Queue <br> Length <br> （Feet） |
| Intersection |  | Movement | LOS | Delay |  | Movement | LOS | Delay |  | Movement | LOS | Delay |  |
|  | N／A | Overall | N／A | 3.0 | N／A | Overall | N／A | 3.1 | N／A | Overall | N／A | 3.7 | N／A |
|  | N／A | EBR | B | 12.6 | 2.5 | EBR | B | 12.7 | 2.5 | EBR | B | 12.7 | 2.5 |
|  | N／A | WBR | D | 25.5 | 90.0 | WBR | D | 26.2 | 95.0 | WBR | D | 30.1 | 117.5 |
|  | 210＇ | NBL | B | 10.8 | 5.0 | NBL | B | 0.3 | 5.0 | NBL | B | 10.9 | 5.0 |
|  | N／A | NBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | NBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | NBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 150＇ | SBL | B | 13.7 | 20.0 | SBL | B | 1.4 | 20.0 | SBL | B | 14.1 | 22.5 |
|  | N／A | SBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | SBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | SBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | N／A | Overall | N／A | 2.2 | N／A | Overall | N／A | 2.2 | N／A | Overall | N／A | 2.5 | N／A |
|  | N／A | EBLT | A | 3.7 | 10.0 | EBLT | A | 3.7 | 10.0 | EBLT | A | 4.2 | 13.0 |
|  | N／A | WBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | WBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | WBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | N／A | NB | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | NB | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | NB | A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | N／A | Overall | N／A | 1.5 | N／A | Overall | N／A | 1.5 | N／A | Overall | N／A | 1.7 | N／A |
|  | N／A | EBLT | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | EBLT | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | EBLT | A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | N／A | WBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | WBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | WBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | N／A | SBLR | B | 10.0 | 10.0 | SBLR | B | 10.1 | 10.0 | SBLR | B | 10.3 | 12.5 |
| P．M．Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | Auxiliary <br> Lane <br> Length | Exis | g Con | Delay | Queue <br> Length <br> （Feet） | Backgrou Movement | Traffic | ditions Delay | Queue <br> Length <br> （Feet） | Total Movement | Lfic Cos | Delay | Queue <br> Length <br> （Feet） |
| $\stackrel{5}{5}$ <br> そ <br> 元 あ <br> N <br> $\stackrel{\sim}{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ | N／A | Overall | N／A | 2.5 | N／A | Overall | N／A | 2.5 | N／A | Overall | N／A | 2.7 | N／A |
|  | N／A | EBR | B | 12.4 | 0.0 | EBR | B | 12.4 | 0.0 | EBR | B | 12.4 | 0.0 |
|  | N／A | WBR | C | 18.6 | 52.5 | WBR | C | 18.9 | 52.5 | WBR | C | 20.2 | 62.5 |
|  | 210＇ | NBL | B | 11.2 | 10.0 | NBL | B | 11.3 | 10.0 | NBL | B | 11.3 | 10.0 |
|  | N／A | NBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | NBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | NBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 150＇ | SBL | B | 13.3 | 25.0 | SBL | B | 13.4 | 52.5 | SBL | B | 13.5 | 27.5 |
|  | N／A | SBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | SBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | SBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | N／A | Overall | N／A | 0.1 | N／A | Overall | N／A | 0.1 | N／A | Overall | N／A | 0.2 | N／A |
|  | N／A | EBLT | A | 0.1 | 0.0 | EBLT | A | 0.1 | 0.0 | EBLT | A | 0.3 | 1.0 |
|  | N／A | WBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | WBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | WBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | N／A | NB | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | NB | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | NB | A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | N／A | Overall | N／A | 2.6 | N／A | Overall | N／A | 2.5 | N／A | Overall | N／A | 2.8 | N／A |
|  | N／A | EBLT | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | EBLT | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | EBLT | A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | N／A | WBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | WBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | WBTR | A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | N／A | SBLR | A | 9.8 | 12.5 | SBLR | B | 9.8 | 12.5 | SBLR | B | 10.0 | 15.0 |

## Background Traffic Conditions

Future 2022 build-out year (background) traffic volumes without the project were derived by applying the 0.92 percent annual growth rate to the existing peak-season, turningmovement counts. Note that the annual growth rate was not applied to the volumes entering and exiting the Academy. Those volumes are not expected to grow due to a background annual growth rate, but are specifically associated with the number of students enrolled in the Academy. Table 1 shows the peak-season background traffic volumes expected during the future build-out year of 2022.

Appendix D - Background Traffic Conditions Analyses contains copies of the Synchro reports for the studied intersections. In addition to reporting existing intersection operating conditions, Table 2 also provides a summary of the critical elements of the background conditions analyses and demonstrates that intersection operations are expected to remain similar to those found for the existing conditions analysis.

## Project Trip Generation

Table 3 - Daily Trip Generation, Table 4 - AM Peak Hour Trip Generation and Table 5 - PM Peak-hour Trip Generation depict the trip generation for the project site. Trip generation characteristics were obtained from the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. The closest ITE Land Use available is Land Use 534 - Private School Pre-k through $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade. Students are expected to continue to arrive and depart via passenger vehicle. For the purposes of this study, it was assumed that the student population of the Lubavitch Hebrew Academy will increase from its current enrollment of 350 students to its planned cap of 400 students in 2022. The trip generation tables show the delta between the current enrollment trip generation and the future enrollment trip generation, an increase of 50 students.

The Lubavitch Hebrew Academy is expected to generate an additional 206 daily trips, 42 a.m. peak-hour trips, and 31 p.m. peak-hour trips. The additional trips are under the 500 additional trips that the City of Margate requires for a traffic impact study.

The ITE trip generation rates were used to generate future trips for the Academy, but it should be noted that by examining the actual trips associated with the current 350 student population it was learned that in actuality, the Academy generates fewer trips than are estimated using the ITE trip generation rate. It is suspected that this is due to the effects of carpooling. Many families have several children that attend the Academy and, thus, multiple children are in a single vehicle arriving and departing from the school.

Based on the actual counts, it would appear that, in the morning peak hour, the Lubavitch Hebrew Academy has a trip generation rate of 0.477 trips per student. In the afternoon peak hour, the Academy has a trip generation rate of 0.52 trips per student. This equates to 167 trips in the morning peak hour and 182 trips in the afternoon peak hour for the current school enrollment. If these rates are used to estimate the trips associated with an
additional 50 students, they would equate to 24 more trips in the morning peak hour and 26 more trips in the afternoon peak hour. These numbers are substantially different from those found using the ITE trip generation rates and imply that the Lubavitch Hebrew Academy will generate fewer trips than are considered in the Total Traffic Conditions analysis.

Table 3
Daily Trip Generation Lubavitch Hebrew Academy

| Land Use | ITE <br> Code | Intensity |  | Trip Generation Rate ${ }^{(1)}$ | Total Trips |  |  | Internal Trips |  |  |  | Adjusted Trips |  |  | Pedestrian |  | Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | \% | In | Out | Total | Trip R | duction | In | Out | Total |
| Existing Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private School (Pre-k - 8) | 534 | 350 | students |  | $\mathrm{T}=4.11(\mathrm{X})(50 / 50)$ | 719 | 719 | 1,439 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 719 | 719 | 1439 | 0 | 0.00\% | 719 | 720 | 1,439 |
| Sub-total |  |  |  |  | 719 | 719 | 1,439 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 719 | 719 | 1,439 | 0 |  | 719 | 720 | 1,439 |
| Proposed Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private School (Pre-k -8) | 534 | 400 | students | $\mathrm{T}=4.11$ (X) (50/50) | 822 | 822 | 1,644 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 822 | 822 | 1644 | 0 | 0.00\% | 822 | 823 | 1,644 |
| Net New Trips |  |  |  |  | 103 | 103 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 103 | 103 | 206 | 0 |  | 103 | 103 | 206 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers' Trip Generation manual, 10th Edition.

Table 4
AM Peak-Hour Trip Generation
Lubavitch Hebrew Academy

| Land Use | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Intensity |  | Trip Generation Rate ${ }^{(1)}$ | Total Trips |  |  | Internal Trips |  |  |  | Adjusted Trips |  |  | Pedestrian |  | Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | \% | In | Out | Total | Trip R | duction | In | Out | Total |
| Existing Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private School (Pre-k - 8) | 534 | 350 | students | $\mathrm{T}=0.85(\mathrm{X})+22.17$ (55/45) | 176 | 144 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 176 | 144 | 320 | 0 | 0.00\% | 176 | 144 | 320 |
| Sub-total |  |  |  |  | 176 | 144 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 176 | 144 | 320 | 0 |  | 176 | 144 | 320 |
| Proposed Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private School (Pre-k - 8) | 534 | 400 | students | $\mathrm{T}=0.85(\mathrm{X})+22.17$ (55/45) | 199 | 163 | 362 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 199 | 163 | 362 | 0 | 0.00\% | 199 | 163 | 362 |
| Net New Trips |  |  |  |  | 23 | 19 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 23 | 19 | 42 | 0 |  | 23 | 19 | 42 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers' Trip Generation manual, 10th Edition.

Table 5
PM Peak-Hour Trip Generation
Lubavitch Hebrew Academy

| Land Use | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Intensity |  | Trip Generation Rate ${ }^{(1)}$ | Total Trips |  |  | Internal Trips |  |  |  | Adjusted Trips |  |  | Pedestrian |  | Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | \% | In | Out | Total | Trip R | duction | In | Out | Total |
| Proposed Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private School (Pre-k - 8) | 534 | 350 | students |  | $\mathrm{T}=0.63$ (X)-1.93 (47/53) | 103 | 116 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 103 | 116 | 219 | 0 | 0.00\% | 103 | 116 | 219 |
| Net New Trips |  |  |  |  | 103 | 116 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 103 | 116 | 219 | 0 |  | 103 | 116 | 219 |
| Proposed Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private School (Pre-k - 8) | 534 | 400 | students | $\mathrm{T}=0.63$ (X)-1.93 (47/53) | 118 | 132 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 118 | 132 | 250 | 0 | 0.00\% | 118 | 132 | 250 |
| Net New Trips |  |  |  |  | 15 | 16 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 15 | 16 | 31 | 0 |  | 15 | 16 | 31 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers' Trip Generation manual, 10th Edition.

## Project Distribution and Assignment

Project trips distribution information was obtained from an evaluation of the current traffic volumes entering and exiting the Lubavitch Hebrew Academy. Figure 2 - A.M. Peak-Hour Trip Distribution shows the morning traffic distribution on study area roadways. Figure 3 - P.M. Peak-Hour Trip Distribution shows the afternoon traffic distribution on the study area roadways.

Figure 4 - Net New Peak-Hour Project Trip Assignment shows the peak-hour project trips assigned to the study area roadway network in accordance with the trip distribution.


Figure 2 - A.M. Peak-Hour Trip Distribution
Lubavitch Hebrew Academy
City of Margate, Florida


Figure 3 - P.M. Peak-Hour Trip Distribution
Lubavitch Hebrew Academy
City of Margate, Florida


Figure 4 - Net New Peak-Hour Project Trip Assignment Lubavitch Hebrew Academy
City of Margate, Florida

## Total Traffic Conditions

Future total traffic volumes (including project trips) were obtained by adding the 2022 background traffic volumes to the project traffic volumes shown in Figure 4. The resulting future total traffic volumes are also shown in Table 1 - Peak-hour Turningmovement Counts.

Appendix E - Total Traffic Conditions Analyses contains copies of the Synchro reports for this third analysis condition. Table 2 provides a summary of the critical elements of these analyses and demonstrates that the studied intersections all are expected to function in a similar manner to the Background condition. In fact, the single largest impact of the increased student population is that the westbound right-turning vehicle queue at the intersection of SR 7 (US 441) at NW $15^{\text {th }}$ Street increases from four to five vehicle lengths. This is a vehicle queue easily accommodated in the length of roadway between the stop bar at SR 7 (US 441) and the exit from the Academy (approximately 210 feet).

As was noted previously, the HCM analysis method does not evaluate the right-turning vehicle queue of the westbound parent traffic entering the Lubavitch Hebrew Academy in the afternoon peak hour. Based on field observations, with 350 students at present, that queue extended a length of 20 vehicles at its peak prior to student dismissal at 3:45. There were 63 westbound right-turning vehicles in the afternoon peak hour that produced the 20 vehicle queue. This is a ratio of 3.15 entering vehicles for every queued vehicle.

Adding the 11 additional right-turning vehicles shown in Figure 4 to the 63 currently entering vehicles results in a total of 74 entering vehicles in the 2022 build-out year afternoon peak hour. Applying the 3.15 ratio to the 74 entering vehicles results in a future queue storage length in the westbound parking lane on NW $15^{\text {th }}$ Street of 24 vehicles. This increase of four vehicle lengths (approximately 100 feet) is easily accommodated in the existing parking lane.

## Circulation Analysis

The Lubavitch Hebrew Academy has an effective student drop-off and pick-up operation in place and has used this operation for many years. It is noted that, regardless of the building expansion, no change is being made to the building footprint. Therefore, the drop-off and pick-up operation that currently occurs in the Academy's parking lot drive aisle will continue to be used in the future.

## Conclusions

Based on the results of this analysis, it is concluded that the proposed Lubavitch Hebrew Academy building expansion will have no significant impact on the adjacent roadway network even if an additional 50 students were to be added to the existing 350 -student population.

## Appendix A - Traffic Counts

COUNTY: 86 - BROWARD
SITE: 9423 - BANKS ROAD, N OF COCONUT CREEK PARKWAY


AADT FLAGS: $\mathrm{C}=$ COMPUTED; E = MANUAL ESTIMATE; $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{FIRST}$ YEAR ESTIMATE
$S=$ SECOND YEAR ESTIMATE; T = THIRD YEAR ESTIMATE; R = FOURTH YEAR ESTIMATE $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{FIFTH}$ YEAR ESTIMATE; $6=$ SIXTH YEAR ESTIMATE; $X=$ UNKNOWN

COUNTY: 86 - BROWARD
SITE: 0169 - SR 7 - S OF ROYAL PALM BLVD/COPANS RD

| YEAR | AADT |  | DIRECTION 1 |  | DIRECTION 2 |  | *K FACTOR | D FACTOR | T FACTOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | 53500 | C | N | 24500 | S | 29000 | 9.00 | 54.60 | 3.10 |
| 2018 | 55000 | C | N | 28500 | S | 26500 | 9.00 | 54.50 | 2.80 |
| 2017 | 51000 | C | N | 24000 | S | 27000 | 9.00 | 51.90 | 2.80 |
| 2016 | 53000 | C | N | 27000 | S | 26000 | 9.00 | 54.10 | 2.80 |
| 2015 | 53000 | C | N | 26500 | S | 26500 | 9.00 | 54.00 | 2.90 |
| 2014 | 53000 | C | N | 27500 | S | 25500 | 9.00 | 54.20 | 3.10 |
| 2013 | 51500 | C | N | 26000 | S | 25500 | 9.00 | 53.60 | 3.10 |
| 2012 | 52500 | C | N | 25500 | S | 27000 | 9.00 | 52.20 | 2.70 |
| 2011 | 45000 | C | N | 23000 | S | 22000 | 9.00 | 52.50 | 5.60 |
| 2010 | 48500 | C | N | 25000 | S | 23500 | 8.35 | 52.69 | 5.60 |
| 2009 | 47000 | C | N | 23500 | S | 23500 | 8.53 | 53.89 | 4.00 |
| 2008 | 55000 | C | N | 28000 | S | 27000 | 8.81 | 54.16 | 4.00 |
| 2007 | 50500 | C | N | 26000 | S | 24500 | 8.63 | 55.75 | 2.20 |
| 2006 | 51500 | C | N | 26500 | S | 25000 | 8.40 | 55.34 | 5.10 |
| 2005 | 52000 | C | N | 26500 | S | 25500 | 8.20 | 51.70 | 5.10 |
| 2004 | 52000 | C | N | 26000 | S | 26000 | 9.10 | 55.30 | 5.10 |

AADT FLAGS: $\mathrm{C}=$ COMPUTED; $\mathrm{E}=$ MANUAL ESTIMATE; $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{FIRST}$ YEAR ESTIMATE
$S=$ SECOND YEAR ESTIMATE; T = THIRD YEAR ESTIMATE; R = FOURTH YEAR ESTIMATE $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{FIFTH}$ YEAR ESTIMATE; $6=$ SIXTH YEAR ESTIMATE; $X=$ UNKNOWN STARTING WITH YFAR 2011 IS STANDARDK, PRIOR YEARS ARE K30 VATUES

THOMAS A. HALL, INC.
1355 ADAMS STREET
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33019
954-288-4447

Site Code: 10031
Start Date: 1/20/2021 File I.D.: MARGATE Page: 1

ALL VEHICLES


Date $1 / 20 / 2021$

| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 304 | 12 | 0 | 24 | 285 | 0 | 634 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 396 | 29 | 0 | 19 | 303 | 2 | 761 |
| 7:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 1 | 371 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 373 | 3 | 818 |
| 7:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 5 | 430 | 29 | 0 | 34 | 369 | 8 | 906 |
| Hr Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 9 | 8 | 1501 | 90 | 0 | 95 | 1330 | 13 | 3119 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 5 | 1 | 362 | 24 | 2 | 37 | 337 | 9 | 837 |
| 8:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 1 | 360 | 23 | 2 | 34 | 365 | 2 | 842 |
| 8:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 7 | 2 | 380 | 22 | 0 | 18 | 333 | 2 | 795 |
| 8:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 9 | 1 | 380 | 14 | 0 | 21 | 317 | 4 | 770 |
| Hr Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 26 | 5 | 1482 | 83 | 4 | 110 | 1352 | 17 | 3244 |


| 14:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hr Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 15:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 13 | 0 | 345 | 17 | 1 | 35 | 382 | 2 | 843 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 52 | 14 | 4 | 399 | 18 | 2 | 33 | 409 | 2 | 935 |
| 15:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 8 | 4 | 381 | 19 | 5 | 24 | 352 | 0 | 860 |
| 15:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 11 | 10 | 340 | 26 | 0 | 43 | 316 | 1 | 830 |
| Hr Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 244 | 46 | 18 | 1465 | 80 | 8 | 135 | 1459 | 5 | 3468 |


| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 11 | 2 | 362 | 20 | 2 | 31 | 364 | 0 | 871 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 16 | 0 | 355 | 24 | 0 | 29 | 408 | 4 | 913 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 12 | 1 | 348 | 17 | 2 | 26 | 371 | 0 | 826 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 11 | 2 | 416 | 11 | 0 | 24 | 398 | 0 | 910 |
| Hr Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 | 50 | 5 | 1481 | 72 | 4 | 110 | 1541 | 4 | 3520 |


| 17:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 16 | 2 | 475 | 11 | 3 | 26 | 403 | 3 | 998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 13 | 0 | 456 | 12 | 4 | 20 | 438 | 5 | 988 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 8 | 17 | 446 | 7 | 5 | 13 | 485 | 5 | 1050 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 15 | 2 | 480 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 404 | 2 | 959 |
| Hr Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 52 | 21 | 1857 | 44 | 12 | 72 | 1730 | 15 | 3995 |



NW 15TH STREET AT SR 7
BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA
COUNTED BY:
UNS IGNALIZED

THOMAS A. HALL, INC.
1355 ADAMS STREET Site Code: 10031
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33019 Start Date: 1/20/21
954-288-4447

File I.D.: MARGATE Page: 2

ALL VEHICLES
NW 15th Street
From West
Uturn Left

Peak Hour Analysis By Entire Intersection for the Period: 11:00 to 01:00 on 1/20/2021

| Peak star | 15:00 |  |  |  | 15:00 |  |  |  | 15:00 |  |  |  | 15:00 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 98 | 46 | 18 | 1465 | 35 | 8 | 135 | 1459 | 4 |
| Percent | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 99\% | 3\% | 1\% | 94\% | 2\% | 0\% | 8\% | 91\% | 0\% |
| Pk total | 3 |  |  |  | 99 |  |  |  | 1564 |  |  |  | 1606 |  |  |  |
| Highest | 15: $45: 00$ | PM |  |  | 15:45:00 | PM |  |  | 15:15:00 | PM |  |  | 15:15:00 | PM |  |  |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 14 | 4 | 399 | 18 | 2 | 33 | 409 | 2 |
| Hi total | 2 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 435 |  |  |  | 446 |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.38 |  |  |  | 0.31 |  |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |

Peak Hour Analysis By Entire Intersection for the Period: 16:00 to 18:00 on 1/20/2021

| Peak star | 17:00 |  |  |  | 17:00 |  |  |  | 17:00 |  |  |  | 17:00 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 52 | 21 | 1857 | 44 | 12 | 72 | 1730 | 15 | 3995 |
| Percent | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 3\% | 1\% | 94\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 95\% | 1\% |  |
| Pk total | 13 |  |  |  | 179 |  |  |  | 1974 |  |  |  | 1829 |  |  |  |  |
| Highest | 17:00 |  |  |  | 17:30 |  |  |  | 17:45 |  |  |  | 17:30 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 15 | 2 | 480 | 14 | 5 | 13 | 485 | 5 |  |
| Hi total | 5 |  |  |  | 62 |  |  |  | 511 |  |  |  | 508 |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.65 |  |  |  | 0.72 |  |  |  | 0.97 |  |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |

Turning Movement Count Report
Report Generated Using Turning Movement Count for Android by PortableStudies.com
Study Information


Peak Hour Data

| Time Period | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Vehicles | Total Pedestrians |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | u | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh | U | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh | U | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh | U | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh |  |  |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 35 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 105 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 57 | 0 |

Vehicle Movement Summary

| Movement / Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Entire I | rsection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | u | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh | $u$ | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh | u | L | T R |  | P | P2 | Veh | U | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh | Vehicles | Pedestrians |
| Movement Volume | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 207 | 0 |
| PHF | - | 0.61 | - | - | - | - | 0.61 | - | - | - | 0.43 | - | - | 0.43 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.35 | - | 0.47 | - | - | 0.44 | 0.49 | - |
| \% Bank 1 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Bank 2 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Bank 3 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | support@po | blestudies.co |
| \% Bank 4 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |

Turning Movement Count Report
Report Generated Using Turning Movement Count for Android by PortableStudies.com
Study Information


Peak Hour Data

| Time Period | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Vehicles | Total Pedestrians |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh | U | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh | U | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh | U | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 98 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 72 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 19 | 0 |

Vehicle Movement Summary

| Movement / <br> Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Entire I | rsection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | u | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh | $u$ | L | T R | R | P1 | P2 | Veh | u | L T R |  |  |  | P2 | Veh | u | L | T | R | P1 | P2 | Veh | Venicles | Pedestrians |
| Movement Volume | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 199 | 0 |
| PHF | 0.47 | 0.50 | - | - | - | - | 0.53 | - | - | - | 0.37 | - | - | 0.37 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.42 | - | 0.55 | - | - | 0.57 | 0.51 | - |
| \% Bank 1 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Bank 2 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Bank 3 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | pport@po | blestudies.co |
| \% Bank 4 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix B - Adjustment Factors

2019 PEAK SEASON FACTOR CATEGORY REPORT - REPORT TYPE: ALL CATEGORY: 8601 CEN.-W OF US1 TO SR7

| WEEK | DATES | SF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOCF: } 0.97 \\ & \text { PSCF } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 01/01/2019-01/05/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 2 | 01/06/2019-01/12/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 3 | 01/13/2019-01/19/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 4 | 01/20/2019-01/26/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 5 | 01/27/2019-02/02/2019 | 0.99 | 1.02 |
| * 6 | 02/03/2019-02/09/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| * 7 | 02/10/2019-02/16/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| * 8 | 02/17/2019-02/23/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| * 9 | 02/24/2019-03/02/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *10 | 03/03/2019-03/09/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| *11 | 03/10/2019-03/16/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| * 12 | 03/17/2019-03/23/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *13 | 03/24/2019-03/30/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *14 | 03/31/2019-04/06/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *15 | 04/07/2019-04/13/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| *16 | 04/14/2019-04/20/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| *17 | 04/21/2019-04/27/2019 | 0.99 | 1.02 |
| *18 | 04/28/2019-05/04/2019 | 0.99 | 1.02 |
| 19 | 05/05/2019-05/11/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 20 | 05/12/2019-05/18/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 21 | 05/19/2019-05/25/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 22 | 05/26/2019-06/01/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 23 | 06/02/2019-06/08/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 24 | 06/09/2019-06/15/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 25 | 06/16/2019-06/22/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 26 | 06/23/2019-06/29/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 27 | 06/30/2019-07/06/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 28 | 07/07/2019-07/13/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 29 | 07/14/2019-07/20/2019 | 1.04 | 1.07 |
| 30 | 07/21/2019-07/27/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 31 | 07/28/2019-08/03/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 32 | 08/04/2019-08/10/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 33 | 08/11/2019-08/17/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 34 | 08/18/2019-08/24/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 35 | 08/25/2019-08/31/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 36 | 09/01/2019-09/07/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 37 | 09/08/2019-09/14/2019 | 1.04 | 1.07 |
| 38 | 09/15/2019-09/21/2019 | 1.05 | 1.08 |
| 39 | 09/22/2019-09/28/2019 | 1.04 | 1.07 |
| 40 | 09/29/2019-10/05/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 41 | 10/06/2019-10/12/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 42 | 10/13/2019-10/19/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 43 | 10/20/2019-10/26/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 44 | 10/27/2019-11/02/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 45 | 11/03/2019-11/09/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 46 | 11/10/2019-11/16/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 47 | 11/17/2019-11/23/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 48 | 11/24/2019-11/30/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 49 | 12/01/2019-12/07/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 50 | 12/08/2019-12/14/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 51 | 12/15/2019-12/21/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 52 | 12/22/2019-12/28/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 53 | 12/29/2019-12/31/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |

* PEAK SEASON


## Annual Growth Factor Worksheet

Lubavitch Hebrew Academy


## Appendix C - Existing Traffic Conditions Analyses




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | F |  |  | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | \％ | 个t |  |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 66 | 1011 | 36 | 147 | 1007 | 4 |  |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 66 | 1011 | 36 | 147 | 1007 | 4 |  |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | Stop | － | － | None | － | － | None |  |
| Storage Length | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | 210 | － | － | 150 | － | － |  |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 50 | 92 | 92 | 53 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 74 | 1136 | 40 | 155 | 1060 | 4 |  |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 | 个 |  | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 210 | 62 | 0 | 17 | 64 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 210 | 62 | 0 | 17 | 64 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 396 | 117 | 0 | 18 | 70 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 | 4 |  | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 175 | 16 | 0 | 35 | 82 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 175 | 16 | 0 | 35 | 82 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 330 | 30 | 0 | 38 | 89 |



## Appendix D - Background Traffic Conditions Analysis








| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 | 个 |  | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 212 | 62 | 0 | 17 | 64 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 212 | 62 | 0 | 17 | 64 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 400 | 117 | 0 | 18 | 70 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 | 个 |  | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 177 | 17 | 0 | 35 | 82 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 177 | 17 | 0 | 35 | 82 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 334 | 32 | 0 | 38 | 89 |



## Appendix E - Total Traffic Conditions Analysis








| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 | 个 |  | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 228 | 62 | 0 | 22 | 78 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 228 | 62 | 0 | 22 | 78 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 430 | 117 | 0 | 24 | 85 |
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